## Chemistry Research Journal, 2021, 6(5):91-95

Available online <u>www.chemrj.org</u>



**Research Article** 

ISSN: 2455-8990 CODEN(USA): CRJHA5

# Effect of Ca<sup>+2</sup>, Mg<sup>+2</sup>, and Sr<sup>+2</sup> Codoped Ions on Electrostatic and Magnetic Interactions between the 4F-Electrons for Pr (III)-2AB Doped System

Mukta Ojha<sup>1</sup>, Shubh laxmi<sup>2</sup>, Jatolia S.N.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shri Khushal Das University, Pilibanga, Hanumangarh

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of chemistry Govt. Dungar College (A-Grade), MGS University, Bikaner 334001 Raj Email:satyanarayanjatolia@gmail.com

**Abstract** Energy level of Pr (III) lanthanide ion with hetero cyclic aromatic N, S, O containing ligand i.e. Pr(III)-2AB system have been determined by absorption spectra in alcoholic: aqua (1:1) medium at 25°. By absorption spectra using Gaussian curve, half band width and expressing energy as Taylor series expansion to expres the energy of j<sup>th</sup> electronic energy level. The interactions have been expressed in terms of Slater-Condon ( $F_K$ ), Lande Racah ( $E^K$ ) and ( $\zeta_{4f}$ ) Parameters. Four assignments were made to justify these interaction Parameters. In this research paper we want to explore theoretically analysis of absorption spectra of (III) ion in alcoholic: aqua (1:1) medium. The values of parameters have been found as  $F_2$ =307.068  $F_4$ =39.1872,  $F_6$ =4.2933,  $E_1$ =4508.315,  $E_2$ =23.5856,  $E_3$ =455.8121&  $\zeta_{4f}$  =710.7587 for Pr (III)-2AB system.

Keywords Energy level, Interaction Parameters, absorption spectra and aromatic ligand

### Introduction

After publication of Judd oflet theory (1,2), the value of various parameters like intensity, interaction and bonding parameters were computed with the help of various UV-Visible spectra of different lanthanide metal ions (3,4,5).  $4f \leftrightarrow 4f$  transitions occur during absorption of UV-Visible and IR radiation for lanthanide ions. When there is electrostatic and magnetic interaction between the 4f-electrons The Energy level transition occurs due to magnetic and electrostatic interaction between 4f-electrons of lanthanides which can be calculated in terms of Slater-Condon (F<sub>K</sub>), Lande ( $\zeta_{4f}$ ) and Racah (E<sup>K</sup>) Parameters. Racah introduced a Taylor series expansion to solve energy levels (6,7,8). 4f-4f transitions of rare-earth lanthanide metal complexes in UV-Visible region are examined by various researchers (9-20). The inner structure of the lanthanide (III) complex can be revealed by examining the 4f-4f transition spectra recorded in various organic solvents, including S, O, and N donors were examined for Pr III systems (21-23). In the present study, diffuse spectra of Praseodymium complexes are studied. The energy levels of these elements can be expressed in terms of four parameters (F<sub>2</sub>,F<sub>4</sub>,F<sub>6</sub>) and Lande's parameters.

### **Material and Methods**

Pr (III) carbonate hydrate (alfa) and ligand 2-Amino Benzothiazole(2AB) were purchased from M/s Sita Trading Company. The solvents used in doped system are Ethyl Alcohol (AR 99.9%, Jiangsu-Hliaxi International Trade Co. Ltd., Made in China.



In the present study Pr (III) ions have been doped with the saturated solution of ligands in alcoholic: aqua (1:1) medium. The saturated solutions have been prepared by dissolving different ligands in alcoholic: aqua (1:1) medium. The saturated solution of ligand and metal Pr (III) ion 0.05M were prepared in solvents. The solution spectra of each system at room temperature in 1:3 ratio (Metal: Ligand) has been recorded by using standard UV-VIS spectrophotometer 3092.

Interactions can be expressed in terms of Slater-Condon ( $F_K$ ), Lande ( $\zeta_{4f}$ ) and Racah ( $E^K$ ) Parameters.

(a) Slater- condon- Lande Equation: The Slater- Condon  $F_2$ ,  $F_4$ , &  $F_6$  and Lande parameter or Spin-Orbit Coupling Constant ( $\zeta_{4f}$ ) may be evaluated by expressing energy as Taylor series expansion)may be used to express the energy of j<sup>th</sup> electronic energy level(6,7,8). In the first order approximation the energy  $E_j$  of a J-level is given by the following equation-

 $E_{obs} - E_{oj} = (\partial E_j / \partial F_2) \Delta F_2 + (\partial E_j / \partial F_4) \Delta F_4 + (\partial E_j / \partial F_6) \Delta F_6 + (\partial E_j / \partial \zeta_{4f}) \Delta \zeta_{4f}$ 

----- (3)

 $[(E_{obs} - E_{oj}) \div (\partial E_j / \partial F_2)] = \Delta F_2 + [(\partial E_j / \partial F_4) \div (\partial E_j / \partial F_2)] \Delta F_4 + [(\partial E_j / \partial F_6) \div (\partial E_j / \partial F_2)] \Delta F_6 + [(\partial E_j / \partial \zeta_{4f}) \div (\partial E_j / \partial F_2)] \Delta \zeta_{4f} - \dots$ (4)

Where,  $E_j = Energy \text{ of } j^{th} \text{ level}$ 

 $E_{oj} =$  Zero-order Energy of j<sup>th</sup> level

 $\Delta F_k$  = Small changes in the Slater-Condon parameters

 $\Delta \zeta_{4f}$  = Small changes in the Lande parameters

 $(\partial E_i / \partial F_k)$  = Partial derivatives with respect to  $F_k$ 

 $(\partial E_i / \partial \zeta_{4f})$  = Partial derivatives with respect to  $\zeta_{4f}$ 

The values of  $\Delta F_k$  and  $\Delta \zeta_{4f}$  may be evaluated using observed energy values (E<sub>j</sub>), reported values of zero-order energies (E<sub>oj</sub>) and partial derivatives ( $\partial E_i / \partial F_k$ ) and ( $\partial E_i / \partial \zeta_{4f}$ ) by partial and multiple regression method (6, 25-26).

The values of Slater-Condon parameters ( $F_k$ ) and Lande para-meters ( $\zeta_{4f}$ ) are then evaluated using following equations-

 $F_k = F^{\mathbf{o}}_k + \Delta F_k$ (5)  $\zeta_{4f} = \zeta^{\mathbf{o}}_{4f} + \Delta \zeta_{4f}$ (6)

Where,  $\Delta F_k \ll F^o_k$ ,  $\Delta \zeta_{4f} \ll \zeta^o_{4f}$  and  $F^o_k$  and  $\zeta^o_{4f}$  are the zero -order values of Slater-Condon and spin-orbit interaction parameters as reported by Wong (6).

#### (b) Racah Parameters or Energy parameters $(E^1, E^2 \text{ and } E^3)$ :

In the case of Pr metal the difficulty arises that the number of levels observed is just the same as the number of parameters evaluated so only two parameters have been computed. The radial Eigen function for  $Pr^{+3}$  has been assumed to be hydrogenic for which  $F_4$  and  $F_6$  can be evaluated in terms of  $F_2$ . By assuming wave functions to be hydrogenic as  $E^{K's}$  (racah parameters) are the linear combination of  $F_K$ 's, they may be calculated by using the



following relation the Racah parameters reduces to in case of  $Pr^{+3}$  complexes which have been evaluated using these equations (27,28).

$E^1 = 14.6818F_2$	(7)
$E^2 = 0.0768F_2$	(8)
$E^3 = 1.4844 F_2$	. (9)

(c) **R.M.S. Deviations (Energy**): The energies  $E_j$  of the electronic sates have been estimated by using the computed values of the parameters. For comparison between calculated ( $E_{cal}$ ) and observed ( $E_{obs}$ ) values of energy, the value of r.m.s. deviations ( $\sigma$ ) have been computed by using the relations-

Where, N = Number of energy level fitted



Figure 1: Comparative UV-Visible absorption spectra of Pr(III)-2AB system with codoping of  $Ca^{+2}$ ,  $Mg^{+2}$ ,  $Sr^{+2}$  ion in alcoholic: aqua (1:1) medium

**Table 1:** Computed value of energy interaction: Slater-Condon  $F_k$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>), Spin-Orbit interaction Lande  $\zeta_{4f}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) Racah  $E^k$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>) parameters and Hydrogenic Ratio (F<sub>4</sub>/F<sub>2</sub>), (F<sub>6</sub>/F<sub>2</sub>) and (E<sup>1</sup>/E<sup>3</sup>), (E<sup>2</sup>/E<sup>3</sup>) for Pr (III)-2AB system and Co-doping of Ca<sup>+2</sup>.Mg<sup>+2</sup> and Sr<sup>+2</sup> metal ions in Alc: Water (1:1) medium

Complex		Pr (III)-2AB	Pr (III)-2AB	Pr (III)-2AB	Pr (III)-2AB
S.N.	Parameters	-	Ca <sup>+2</sup>	$Mg^{+2}$	$\mathbf{Sr}^{+2}$
1	E1	4508.315	4494.683	4522.68	4518.059
2	E <sub>2</sub>	23.58284	23.51153	23.65799	23.63382
3	E <sub>3</sub>	455.8121	454.4339	457.2645	456.7973
4	F <sub>2</sub>	307.0683	306.1398	308.0467	307.732
5	F4	39.1872	61.59273	42.35863	82.92903
6	F <sub>6</sub>	4.2933	5.799821	4.528922	7.244155
7	$\zeta_{4\mathrm{f}}$	710.7587	751.133	704.9197	748.7798
8	%r $\zeta_{4f}$	4.9721	-0.42588	5.752792	-0.11127
9	%r F2	-2.56221	-2.25208	-2.88901	-2.78388
10	$F_4/F_2$	9.89073	9.89073	9.89073	9.89073
11	$F_6/F_2$	0.051738	0.051738	0.051738	0.051738
12	$E_1/E_3$	0.127617	0.201192	0.137507	0.269485
13	$E_2/E_3$	0.013981	0.018945	0.014702	0.02354



codoping of Ca , Mg and Si metarions in Alcoholic: water (1:1) medium									
Compound		Pr(III)-2AB		Pr(III)-2AB		Pr(III)-2AB		Pr(III)-2AB	
				Ca <sup>+2</sup>		Ca <sup>+2</sup> Mg <sup>+2</sup>		$\mathbf{Sr}^{+2}$	
S. No	Levels	Ecal	Eexp	Ecal	Eexp	Ecal	Eexp	Ecal	Eexp
1	${}^{3}P_{2}$	22497.8	22497.19	22538	22547.91	22497.73	22497.19	22548.56	22547.91
2	${}^{3}P_{1}$	21344.83	21344.72	21308.18	21321.96	21344.83	21344.72	21299.42	21299.25
3	${}^{3}P_{0}$	20767.41	20768.43	2146.038	2072.539	20789.03	20790.02	20767.41	20768.43
4	$^{1}D_{2}$	16963.71	16963.53	16931.09	16963.53	16992.56	16992.35	16963.65	16963.53
	r.m.s.								
5	(σ)	0.60		0.59		0.58		0.61	
	dev. $(\pm)$								

**Table 2:** Experimental and Calculated value of Energy (cm<sup>-1</sup>) for various energy level of Pr(III)-2AB system and codoping of Ca<sup>+2</sup>,Mg<sup>+2</sup> and Sr<sup>+2</sup> metal ions in Alcoholic: water (1:1) medium

### **Results and Discussion**

Among F<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>4</sub>, F<sub>6</sub> and  $\zeta$ 4<sub>f</sub> parameters, decrease has been observed with F<sub>2</sub> and  $\zeta$ <sub>4f</sub> parameters in comparison to free metal ion. This shows the expansion of 4f-orbital in the complex formation. These observations show that on complexation, central metal ion expands, which has expected to decrease the interelectronic repulsions and spin orbit interaction.

Trends in value /variations in value of Racah Parameters or Energy parameters ( $E^1$ ,  $E^2$  and  $E^3$ ) for various systems in alcoholic: aqua (1:1) medium

 $E_1 \ \ - \ Pr(III) 2AB - Mg^{+2} > Pr(III) 2AB \ \ - Sr^{+2} > Pr(III) 2AB > Pr(III) 2AB - Ca^{+2}$ 

 $E_2 \text{-} \Pr(III)2AB\text{-}Mg^{+2} > \Pr(III)2AB\text{-}Sr^{+2} > \Pr(III)2AB \text{-}Ca^{+2}$ 

 $E_3 \text{-} Pr(III)2AB\text{-}Mg^{+2} > Pr(III)2AB\text{-}Sr^{+2} > Pr(III)2AB \text{-}Ca^{+2}$ 

Trends in value /variations in value of Slater- Condon  $F_2$ ,  $F_4$ , &  $F_6$  parameters

 $F_2 \ \ - Pr(III) 2AB - Mg^{+2} > Pr(III) 2AB - Sr^{+2} > Pr(III) 2AB - Pr(III) 2AB - Ca^{+2}$ 

 $F_4 \ - Pr(III)2AB - Sr^{+2} > Pr(III)2AB - Ca^{+2} > Pr(III)2AB - Mg^{+2} > Pr(III)2AB$ 

Variations in value of Lande parameter or Spin-Orbit Coupling Constant ( $\zeta_{4f}$ ) Parameter

 $\zeta_{4f} \text{ - } Pr(III)2AB \text{ - } Ca^{+2} \text{ > } Pr(III)2AB \text{ - } Sr^{+2} \text{ > } Pr(III)2AB \text{ > } Pr(III)2AB \text{ - } Mg^{+2}$ 

For Pr(III)2AB in alcoholic: aqua (1:1) medium system  $E_{cal}$  is same with respect to all transition and also after codoping of Mg<sup>+2</sup>,  $E_{cal}$  remains same with respect to all transition, while in case of Pr(III)2AB-Ca<sup>+2</sup> and Pr(III)2AB-Sr<sup>+2</sup>  $E_{exp}$  is same  $E_{cal}$  is different. rms ( $\sigma$ ) dev. ( $\pm$ ) is very small as for all systems which is nearby same i.e. it vary from 0.58 to 0.61.

### References

- [1]. Judd B R, Phys. Rev., 127, 750, (1962).
- [2]. Ofelt G S, J. Chem. Phys., 37, 511, (1962).
- [3]. Jatolia S.N, Bhandari H.S, Bhojak N., International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology, 1(4), 201-204, (2014).
- [4]. Bhojak N, Jain R, Lavi K, Soni K P and Tater PC., Asian J Chem., 17(4), 2765, (2005).
- [5]. Jatolia S.N, M. Soni, K.K Verma. S. Bhandari, *Cross Section*, Multidisciplinary international peer reviewed research journal, 1(2), 14-16, (2014)
- [6]. E. Y. Wong, J. Chem. Phys., 35(2), 544 (1961)
- [7]. E. Y. Wong: J. Chem. Phys., 38(4), 976 (1963).
- [8]. R. C. Mathur; S. S. L. Surana & S. P. Tandon, Appl. Phys., 6, 363-365 (1975)
- [9]. Tandon S.P., Gokhroo, A., Surana S.S.L., Bhutra M.P., Tandon K., *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Physics*, 30, 67-70, (1992).



- [10]. Evans C. H., Biochemistry of Lanthanides, Chapter 4, Plenum Press, New York, USA. (1990)
- [11]. Dimitrov V., Komatsu T., Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 178, 831-846, (2005).
- [12]. Upase A.B., Kalbend P.P., *E-Journal of chemistry*, 8(3), 1132-1141, (2011).
- [13]. Victory D. C., Singh R.N., International Conference of Chemistry and Chemical Process, 10, (2011).
- [14]. Guskos N., Majszczyk J., Typek J., Zolnierkiewicz G., Tomaszewicz E., Aidinis K., Rev. Adv. Mater. Science, 23, 97-101, (2010)
- [15]. Romuald Z., Wilson M., Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards- A Physics and Chemistry, 69(1), 59-69, (1965).
- [16]. Lakshman S.V.J., Jayasankar C.K., Pramana, 23(2), 129-140, (1984).
- [17]. Elliot, J.P., Judd, B.R., & Runciman, W.A., Proc. Soc., 240-242, (1957).
- [18]. Wybourne, B.G., J. Chem. Phys, 32-33, (1962).
- [19]. Deepmala, Gokhroo, Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 5(10), 399-406,(2018)
- [20]. Deepmala, Gokhroo, IOSR Journal of Applied Physics, 10(6), 12-16, (2018).
- [21]. S. Shankar, J.W. Rhim, Carbohydr. Polym. 130, 353-363, (2015)
- [22]. C.H. Evans, C.H. Evans, Biochem. of Lanthanides, 85-172, (1990).
- [23]. N. Ranjana Devi, H. Bimola, C. Sumitra, N. Rajmuhon Singh, J. Indian Chem. Soc. 89(2), 217-227, (2012)
- [24]. S. V. J. Lakshman and S. Buddhudu, Journal of quantitative spectroscopy and radiative transfer, 24(3), 251-257, (1980).
- [25]. Goulden C H, Methods of statistical analysis, Asian Publishing House, Bombay, (1964).
- [26]. Binnemans K, Va Deun R, Gorller-Walrand C, Adam J L, "J. Non-Cryst Solid" 238 (1-2), 11-29, (1998),
- [27]. E. H. Carlson, H. M. Crosswhite; john Hopkins Spectrosc. Report No.-19, (1960).
- [28]. B. G. Wyboume: Spectroscopic Properties of the Rare Earths, Inter Science, New York (1965).

