Chemistry Research Journal, 2018, 3(6):111-121

Available online <u>www.chemrj.org</u>



Research Article

ISSN: 2455-8990 CODEN(USA): CRJHA5

Quantum-Chemical Study of the Coordination of Some Biguanides and their *N*-Deprotonated Derivatives

Aidote François, Kuevi Urbain Amah*, Kpotin Assongba Gaston, Kpota-Houngue T. Alice, Atohoun G. Sylvain, Mensah Jean-Baptiste

Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique et de Spectroscopie Moléculaire (LACTHESMO), Université d'Abomey-Calavi, 03 BP : 3409 Cotonou – Bénin

Abstract The biguanides $[HN=C(NR_1R_2)-NH-C(NR_3R_4)=NH]$ constitute an important family of molecules used as drugs in the treatment of diabetes. The five nitrogen atoms are potential coordination sites since they each carry a free electron pair. In the state of complexes, the therapeutic properties of the active molecules increase considerably. Recent experiments with Zinc complexes on diabetic animals have been very successful.

The present work deals with the Quanto-Chemical Study of the Coordination of some Biguanides such as biguanide, metformin and butformin. The objective is to determine the most favorable sites for the coordination of these ligands through the analysis of chemical properties that are coordinating indicators: the interatomic bond lengths, the atomic charges, the electrostatic potentials, the structures of boundary orbitals and atomic electrophilic supredelocalisability index.

Calculations were performed by the DFT / B3LYP method in the 6-31G (d, p) database with the Gaussian 09 and 03 softwares and the DCENT-QSAR program.

The sp^2 nitrogen atoms have proved to be the most favorable coordination sites. Complexes of these ligands with Zn (II) were modeled.

Keywords Coordination compounds, biguanides, anti-diabetic treatments, DFT / B3LYP / 6-31G (d, p) basis set.

1. Introduction

The treatment of non-insulin-dependent diabetes and the medical support of the insulin-dependent diabetes require, in view of the increasingly alarming extent of this disease, more effective and financially accessible drugs.

To date, one of the first and most effective drugs used in this treatment is the metformin molecule (STAGID or GLUCOPHAGE in pharmacy) which is of the biguanide family [1]. In the biguanide carbon skeleton there is five nitrogen atoms. They are potential donors of electronic doublets.

On the other hand, the coordination of the bio-ligands profoundly alters the physiological properties of the metals as well as those of the ligands with an overall improvement in the activity of the ligand taken alone in the pure state or that of the complexing metal the salt [2-6].

Recent experiments with Zinc complexes on animals suffering from diabetes have been very successful [7]. Zinc complexes with biguanides should improve the treatment of this disease.

In this work, we will carry out the QUANTO-CHEMICAL STUDY OF THE COORDINATION OF SOME BIGUANIDES. Our overall goal is to determine which of the five nitrogen atoms the most favorable coordination site in the biguanides is. For this purpose, five coordination indicators (CI) are used: interatomic bond lengths,



atomic charges, electrostatic potentials, boundary orbital structures and electrophilic supredelocalisability indices (ESDI) [8-10].

2. Materials and Methods

As hardware we mainly have used versions 09 and 03 of the Gaussian software and the DCENT-QSAR program for the calculations [8-11]. For the drawings, GaussView 5.08 and Chemdraw were used. The main method used in our work is DFT / B3LYP / 6-31G (d, p). All the work was done at atmospheric pressure and at $T = 25^{\circ}$ C. The present work focused on four biguanide conformers, four metformin conformers and four butformin.

3. Results and Discussion

The optimization of the twelve ligands studying in the present work was made. The geometry, calculated in B3LYP / 6-31G (d, p), of each studied molecule is shown (Figure 1, 2 and 3). The following abbreviations are adopted:

- Cis-1: The biguanide conformer in which the two bonds π are in the cis position relative to each other and with respect to the hydrogen atom carried by the nitrogen atom number 7.
- Cis-2: The biguanide conformer in which the two bonds π are in cis position relative to each other but both trans with respect to the hydrogen atom carried by the nitrogen atom number 7.
- π -t: The biguanide conformer in which the two bonds π are in trans position with respect to each other.
- N-dep.: N-deprotonated
- bgde = biguanide; mtfne = metformin ; btfne = butformin ; t= trans.
- ESDI: Electrophilic superelocalisability indice

It is found that the N-deprotonated form is the most stable tautomer ($\Delta G = -354.130344$ hartrees). This is consistent with the data from the literature [12]. Nevertheless the most commonly encountered in literature are the N-deprotonated forms [13-16]. Among them, the

 π -transbiguanide conforms to the highest stability ($\Delta G = -354.119436$ hartrees or 1.54×10^{-15} J).

 π -cis-1 biguanide ($\Delta G = -354.112472$ hartrees) shows stability comparable to Π -trans biguanide.

The π -cis-2biguanide (ΔG =-354.103524 hartrees) conformer, with relatively less stability, is most frequently found in complex compounds obtained experimentally [12]. All these reasons led to the choice of these four categories of molecules for the present study; these categories are the π -trans, π -cis1, π -cis2 and N-deprotonated tautomers of biganide, metformin and butformin; this makes twelve ligands in total.



a) N- deprotonated Biguanide ($\Delta G = -354.130344$)

b) π -trans Biguanide ($\Delta G = -354.119436$)







c) π -cis-1Biguanide ($\Delta G = -354.112472$) Figure 1: The different studied forms of biguanide with their energies in hartrees



a) N- deprotonated Metformin (ΔG = -432.677661)



b) π -trans Metformin (ΔG = -432.673193)





c) π -cis-1Metformin (ΔG = -432.673850) d) π -cis-2Metformin (ΔG = -432.670039) Figure 2: The different forms studied of metformin with their energies in hartrees



a) N- deprotonated butformin ($\Delta G = -511.286901$)



b) π -trans butformin ($\Delta G = -511.269486$)







c) π -cis1-butformin (ΔG = -511.266451) d) π -cis2-butformin (ΔG = -511.262062) Figure 3: The different studied forms of butformin with their energies in hartrees

3.1. Study of ligands

3.1.1. Analysis of geometric parameters

Table	1:	Some	geometric	parameters	of N-o	deprotonated	1 forms	of bi	guanides
			B						0

	0	1	1	U
Parameters	Bgde-N-dep	Mtfne-N- dep	Btne-N-dep	Standard values [13]
Distances (Å)				
$C^1 = N^2$	1.30	1.29	1.30	1.27
C^1-N^4	1.39	1.39	1.39	1.47
C^1-N^7	1.38	1.39	1.38	1.47
$N^7 = C^8$	1.31	1.29	1.32	1.47
C^8-N^9	1.38	1.37	1.38	1.27
$C^{8}-N^{11}$	1.36	1.42	1.35	1.47

Table 2: Some geometric parameters of π -Cis-1 forms of biguanides

	υ	1		0
Parameters	π-cis1-Bgde	π-cis1-Mtfne	π-cis1-Btfne	Standard values [13]
Distances (Å)				
$C^1 = N^2$	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.27
C^1-N^4	1.39	1.38	1.40	1.47
C^1-N^7	1.41	1.42	1.40	1.47
N^7-C^9	1.41	1.41	1.42	1.47
$C^9 = N^{10}$	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.27
$C^{9}-N^{12}$	1.39	1.41	1.37	1.47

Table 3: Some geometric parameters of π -Cis-2 forms of biguanides

Parameters	π-cis2- Bgde	π -cis2- Mtfne	π-cis2- Btfne	Standard values [13]
Distances (Å)				
$C^1 = N^2$	1.27	1.28	1.28	1.27
C^1-N^4	1.39	1.39	1.37	1.47
C^1-N^7	1.43	1.42	1.43	1.47
C^9-N^7	1.44	1.44	1.45	1.47
$C^9 = N^{10}$	1.28	1.29	1.28	1.27
$C^{9}-N^{12}$	1.37	1.37	1.37	1.47



Tuble II	Querques g	seomenique	s des forme	5 W transiguariaes
Parameters	π-tbgde	π-tmtfne	π-tbtfne	Values standards [13]
Distances (Å)				
$C^1 = N^2$	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.27
C^1-N^4	1.37	1.37	1.37	1.47
C^1-N^7	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.47
N^7-C^9	1.40	1.41	1.41	1.47
$C^9 = N^{10}$	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.27
$C^{9}-N^{12}$	1.40	1.40	1.39	1.47

Table 4: Quelques géométriques des formes π -tranbiguanides

From the analysis of bond lengths of the three N-déprotonated biguanide conformers (π -transbiguanide, π -cislbiguanide et π -cis-2biguanide) one noticed that liaisons C^1 -N⁴, C^1 -N⁷, N⁷-C⁹ et C^9 -N¹² are about 1.40 Å; these lengths are between the C = N double bond (1.27 Å) and the C-N single bond (1.47) [13]. This situation shows that the free electron pairs of N^4 , N^7 , N^9 et N^{12} conjugate with π electron pair of the C^9N^{10} (N⁷ and N¹²) or of the C^1N^2 (N⁷ et N⁴) bonds. Since these pairs are thus delocalized, they aren't available for coordination with a metal; it is the same for the respective conformers of metformin and butformin. This analysis is then favorable to the coordination through the nitrogen atom of the $C^1=N^2$ and $C^9=N^{10}$ bonds since the free electron pairs of these N are localized; the lengths of these bonds are about 1.270 Å, standard of C=N bonds [13].

In the N-deprotonated tautomers, all electronic pairs of N atoms, except N^2 , conjugate with CN bonds ; the greatest delocalization is observed at the level of N^{11} (in biguanide and butformin) or N^9 (in metformin), evidenced by the value of the length of $C^8N^{11(9)}$ (about de 1,35 Å). This is consistent with the literature data ¹³. These N atoms are therefore not favorable for this reason to coordination; coordination through N^2 is then the most favorable.

3.1.2. Analysis of atomic charges

The nitrogen atoms N^2 , N^4 , N^{10} and N^{12} carry the highest electron densities in absolute values. It should be noted that the N^4 and N^{12} atoms carry, each, two positively charged hydrogen atoms; N^4 and N^{12} are therefore at a disadvantage compared to N^2 and N^{10} which, therefore, constitute the most favorable coordination sites from the atomic NBO charge point of view.

Atoms		Biguanide]	Metformine	e]	Butformine				
	π-tbgde	П-cis1-	π-cis2-	π-	π-cis1-	π-cis2-	π-tbtfne	П-cis1-	π-cis2-			
	_	bgde	bgde	tmtfne	mtfne	mtfne		btfne	btfne			
C^1	0.600	0.603	0.594	0.500	0.758	0.597	0.602	0.601	0.590			
N^2	-0.779	-0.772	-0.754	-0.78	-0.853	-0.762	-0.782	0.765	-0.753			
N^4	-0.877	-0.896	-0.884	-0.879	-0.946	-0.887	-0.879	-0.904	-0.857			
N^7	-0.715	-0.700	-0.771	-0.719	-0781	-0.764	-0.719	-0.702	-0.778			
C^9	0.598	0.603	0.584	0.605	0.755	0.593	0.606	0.612	0.598			
N^{10}	-0.749	-0.772	-0.745	-0.743	-0.812	-0.760	-0.755	-0.792	-0.764			
N^{12}	-0.908	-0.896	-0.853	-0.515	-0.618	-0.458	-0.699	-0.682	-0.664			
C ¹³	-	-	-	-0.482	-0.426	-0.481	-	-	-			
C^{14}	-	-	-	-0.480	-0.415	-0.476	-0.286	-0.261	-0.264			
C^{17}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.474	-0.472	-0.478			
C^{20}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.462	-0.464	-0.463			
C ²³	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.691	-0.683	-0.683			

Table 5: Atomic NBO charges of biguanide, metformin and butformin

Table 6: NBO charges of N-deprotonated tautomers of biguanides

Atoms	Bgde N-dep	Mtfne N-dep	Btfne N-dep
N^2	-0,855	-0.878	-0.865
N^4	-0,869	-0.798	-0.871
N^7	-0,675	-0.624	-0.683
N^{11}	-0,871	-0.835	-0.866
$N^{9(10)}$	-0,866	-0.550	-0.665
C^1	0.611	0.596	0.612
$C^{8(9)}$	0.558	0.666	0.665



3.1.3. Analysis of electrostatic potentials

Tables 7 and 8 show the electrostatic potentials of nitrogen atoms contained in the studied molecules.

Atoms		Biguanid	e	Ν	Aetformin	e	Butformine			
	π-tbgde	π-cis	π -cbgde2	π-t	π-cis π-cis		π-tbtfne	π-cis	π-cis	
		bgde1		mtfne	mtfne1	mtfne2		btfne1	btfne2	
N^2	-18.409	-18.4	-18.404	-18.411	-18.405	-18.407	-18.412	-18.396	-18.397	
N^4	-18.341	18.331	-18.337	-18.342	-18.347	-18.338	-18.343	-18.325	-18.333	
N^7	-18.305	-18.300	-18.323	-18.311	-18.305	-18.324	-18.311	-18.305	-18.329	
N^{10}	-18.386	-18.4	-18.394	-18.392	-18.387	-18.399	-18.394	-18.408	-18.406	
N^{12}	-18.321	-18.331	-18.329	-18.314	-18.320	-18.317	-18.321	-18.334	-18.332	

 Table 7: Electrostatic potentials ESP (in a.u.) of nitrogen atoms of N-protonated tautomers

Table 8: ESP (a.u.) of nitrogen atoms of N-deprotonated tautomers

Atoms	Bgnde N-dep	Mtfne N-dep	Btfne N-dep.
N^2	-18,386	-18.420	-18.42
N^4	-18,359	-18.35	-18.357
N^7	-18,377	-18.392	-18.41
N^9	-18,364	-18.332	-18.337
N^{11}	-18.332	-18.349	-18.345
C^1	-14.660	-14.659	-14.665
C^2	-14.636	-14.638	-14.640

The analysis of the electrostatic potentials values recorded in atomic units (a.u.) in the table 7 shows that the N^2 and N^{10} nitrogen atoms of the N-protonated tautomers carry the most negative potential values. Then they are the most favored coordination sites if you consider this CI.

The table 8 records some PES of the N-deprotonated forms of the biguanides molecules studied in this work. Here, the more favorable nitrogen atoms are, in descending order, N^2 , N^7 and N^{12} .

3.1.4. Analysis of atomic indices of electrophilic superdelocalisability

The atomic electrophilic superelocalisability indice expresses the ability of the atom to attract an electrophilic center. The table 9 summarizes the different atomic values.

Atoms		Atomic electrophilic superelocalisability indices of ligands										
		Biga	nide		Métformine				Butformine			
	Bgde N-	π-cis1-	Cis2-	Tbgde	Mtfne N-	π-cis1-	π-cis2-	Tmtfne	Btfne N-	π-cis1-	π-cis2-	Tbtfne
	dep	bgde	bgde		dep.	mtfne	mtfne		dep.	btfne	btfne	
N2	-16.492	-15.391	-15.422	-16.460	-17.332	-15.985	-15.985	-15.716	-16.735	-15.163	-15.074	-15.332
N4	-13.372	-12.455	-12.714	-13.151	-13.629	-12.836	-12.836	-12.776	-13.548	-12.256	-12.535	-13.359
N7	-15.622	-11.688	-12.370	-11.961	-15.748	-11.966	-11.966	-12.015	-16.035	-11.868	-12.557	-11.964
N10	-12.671	-15.390	-14.928	-15.130	-12.715	-14.952	-14.951	-15.182	-12.747	-15.980	-15.578	-17.020
(N9)												
N12	-12.949	-12.453	-12.408	-12.265	-12.402	-12.204	-12.204	-12.361	-12.916	-12.757	-12.669	-12.949
(N11)												

Table 9: Electrophilic superelocalisability indices of Nitrogen atoms

This table shows that N^2 and N^{10} atoms carry the most negative electrophilic superdelocalisability indice in the N-protonated molecules.

In the N-.deprotonated molecules, N^2 and N^7 carry the most negative electrophilic superdelocalisability indice with prominence of N^2 .

3.1.5. Analysis of the boundary orbitals

The analysis of the frontier orbitals from the values recorded in Table 10 shows that, in the biguanide, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is located more in the nitrogen atom N^2 ; in metformin and in butformin the HOMO is found more in N^2 , N^4 and N^{10} . This means that the metal-ligand orbital recovery is more favorable at the level of these atoms.



Orbitals		Biguanide		Metformine				
	Energy (eV)	Dominant atomic orbitals	Coefficient	Energy (eV)	Dominant atomic orbitals	Coefficient		
HOMO -1	-0,252	N^{4} (pz)	0,46	-0,241	N^{10} (pz)	0,53		
HOMO	-0,223	N^2 (pz)	0,72	-0,221	$N^{2}(pz), N^{4}(pz)$	0,52;0,46		
Favorable site		N^2			N^2 , N^4 , N^{10}			
		Butformine		ZnCl ₂				
	Energy (eV)	Dominant atomic orbitals	Coefficient	Energy (eV)	Dominant atomic orbitals	Coefficient		
HOMO -1	-0,246	$N^{10}(pz)$	0,56		-	-		
HOMO	-0,211	$N^{2}(pz), N^{4}(pz)$	0.68;0,55		-	-		
LUMO	0.0234	$N^{2}(pz), N^{10}(pz)$	0.54 ; 0.63	-2,31	Zn(s)	2,01		
		10						
LUMO+1	0.0604	$N^{10}(pz)$	0.45	-0,79	Zn (p y)	1,43		
Favorable site		N^2 , N^4 , N^{10}			Zn			

Table 10: HOMO, HOMO -1 of the studied ligands and LUMO, LUMO +1 of ZnCl₂

3.1.6. Summary Analysis

Table 11 summarizes the results of previous analyzes. It appears that coordination through N^2 nitrogen atom is favored by 100% of the coordination indicators in forms of biguanide, metformin and butformin. N^{10} atom too is very favored in N-protonated forms (80 % in N-protonated forms of biganide, 100% in N-protonated forms of metformin and buformin). In the N-deprotonated conformers, N^7 atom is favored by 40% of the CI, taking thus the second place after N^2 . The N-deprotonated biguanide don't shows any coordination possibility via N^{10} atom. It is therefore concluded that N2 and N10 are probably the most favorable coordination sites in biguanides. This is in accordance with the literature ¹². As for the deprotonated forms of the biguanides, N^2 and N^7 prove to be favorable to coordination.

Table 11: Recapitulative analysis

Species	Biguani	de			Metformi	ne			Butformin	ie		
Coordination	N-dep	π-	π-cis1	π-cis2	N-dep	π-trans	π-cis1	π-cis2	N-dep	π-trans	π-cis1	π-cis2
indices		trans										
Bond lenghts	N ²	N ² ,	N ² ,	N ² ,	N ²	N^2, N^{10}	N^2, N^{10}	N^2, N^{10}	N^2	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}
		N^{10}	N^{10}	N^{10}								
Atomic	N^2	N ² ,	N ² ,	N ² ,	N^2	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}
charges		N^{10}	N^{10}	N^{10}								
PES	N^2 , N^7	N^2 ,	N^2 ,	N^2 ,	N^2 , N^7	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^{2}, N^{7}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}
		N^{10}	N^{10}	N^{10}								
ESDI	N^2	N^2 ,	N^2 ,	N ² ,	N^2, N^7	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^{2}, N^{7}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}	N^2 , N^{10}
	N^7	N^{10}	N^{10}	N^{10}								
HOMO and	N^2	N^2	N^2	N^2	$N^2, N^4,$	$N^{2}, N^{4},$	$N^{2}, N^{4},$	$N^{2}, N^{4},$	$N^{2}, N^{4},$	$N^{2}, N^{4},$	$N^{2}, N^{4},$	$N^{2}, N^{4},$
HOMO-1					N ¹⁰	N^{10}	N^{10}	N^{10}	N ¹⁰	N^{10}	N^{10}	N^{10}
% of	N^2	N^2	N^2	N^2	N^2 (100),	N^2	N^2	N^2	N^2 (100),	N^2	N^2	N^2
favorables CI	(100),	(100),	(100),	(100),	N^4 (20),	(100),	(100),	(100),	N ⁴ (20),	(100),	(100),	(100),
	$N^{7}(40)$	N ¹⁰	N ¹⁰	N ¹⁰	N^{10} (20).	N^4 (20).	N^4 (20).	N^4 (20).	N^{10} (20).	N^4 (20).	N^4 (20).	N^4 (20).
		(80)	(80)	(80)	$N^{7}(40)$	N ¹⁰	N ¹⁰	N ¹⁰	$N^{7}(40)$	N ¹⁰	N ¹⁰	N ¹⁰
				``'		(100)	(100)	(100)		(100)	(100)	(100)

The ligands study is thus carried out; it is now appropriate to simulate corresponding complexes in order to verify the results of the study. This is the second part of the present investigative work.

3.2. The complexation modeling

3.2.1. Modeling

The modeling of the complexes of each ligand with zinc (II) has been considered. This element was brought by zinc chloride. The $ZnCl_2$ molecule was placed at a distance of about 2.5Å from each type of nitrogen atom. These



systems were optimized and complexes were formed according to the structures shown on figure 4 and the Zn-N bond length values (about 2. Å) shown in tables 12, 13 $^{17-20}$. In the case of each π -cis-2 conformer, the dihedre $N^2C^1N^7N^{10}$ was kept constant during optimization until formation of the Zn-N² and Zn-N¹⁰ bonds; this twist angle was then relaxed and the system was optimized again. This precaution was useful because, being considerably unstable, this conformer would be transformed into a more stable conformer during the complexation process. The tables 12 and 13 gather the calculated interatomic distances Zn-N of the complexes.

Except the $\text{ZnCl}_2(\text{Metformine N-dep.})_2$ complex where Zinc atom was coordinated trought N⁷ nitrogen atom, the coordination in all complexes has obtained via N² atom; this confirms the forecast made from analysis of the calculated CI. In the π -cis-2 conformers, the coordination occurred via N² and N¹⁰ giving some chelates according to the previous results.

bgde = biguanide; mtfne = metformin ; btfne = butformin ; t= trans.





			1		U
Complexes	Z n-N ²	Z n-N ⁴	Z n-N ⁷	Z n-N ¹⁰	Z n-N ¹²
ZnCl ₂ .BgndeN-dep	2,00	4,25	3,27	3,86	2,32
ZnCl ₂ .BtfneN-dep	1,99	4,23	3,26	3,86	2,35
ZnCl ₂ .cis2-Bgnde	2,07	4,34	3,35	2,07	4,33
ZnCl ₂ .cis2-Mtfne	2,06	4,30	3,31	2,05	4,32
ZnCl ₂ .cis2-Btfne	2,06	4,32	3,32	2,05	4,30

Table 12: Interatomic distances Zn-N of complexes with one ligand

Table 13: Interatomic distances Zn-N of complexes with two ligands

Complexes	Ligand 1				Ligand 2					
	ZnN ²	ZnN ⁴	ZnN ⁷	ZnN ¹⁰	ZnN ¹²	ZnN ²⁴	ZnN ²⁵	ZnN ¹⁸	ZnN ²²	ZnN ²⁹
ZnCl ₂ (tbgnde) ₂	2.02	3.38	4.11	5.93	4.06	1.99	4.28	3.29	5.59	5.07
$ZnCl_2(tmtfne)_2$	2.01	3.47	4.31	6.03	4.58	2.00	3.39	4.33	5.99	4.78
ZnCl ₂ (tbutfne) ₂	2.01	3.41	4.31	6.00	6.59	2.01	3.45	4.30	6.11	6.52
ZnCl ₂ (cis1-bgnde) ₂	2.01	3.43	4.31	6.61	5.88	2.01	3.44	4.31	6.59	5.98
ZnCl ₂ (cis1-mtfne) ₂	2.01	3.43	4.31	6.59	5.95	2.01	3.43	4.31	6.42	6.06
ZnCl ₂ (cis1-btfne) ₂	2.01	3.42	4.31	6.63	5.91	2.01	3.44	4.31	6.54	6.01
ZnCl ₂ (mtfne-N-dep) ₂	4.20	3.26	2.03	3.08	4.08	3.87	3.19	2.06	3.30	4.33

3.2.2. Energetic study of the obtained complexes

The values of coordination Gibbs energies and enthalpies which are shown in table 14 are all negative, suggesting that the coordination process of biguanide and its derivatives studied in the present work with zinc chloride is spontaneous and exothermic.

It should be noted that although N-deprotonated isomers of the ligands are the most stable, their complexes are not most stable [12]. Indeed, performing the present investigation, we performed before, the complexes with proportion $\text{ZnCl}_2/\text{ligand}$ equal 1/1; on this stage only π -cis-2 conformers have formed complexes where Zn(II) coordination number is 4, which gives to this metallic element the tetrahedral structure that is characteristic to it. Moreover these complexes are some chelates. All other conformers have formed complexes with trigonal Zn(II). Therefore, the most stable complexes are those that correspond to the π -cis-2 conformers, which is in concordance with their strong preponderance in the reaction products during the experimental syntheses [14-16].

	ΔG, eV	ΔGcoord,	ΔH, eV	ΔHcoord,	Type de processus
		eV		eV	
Bgnde-N- déprtne	-9636,13	-	-9635,07	-	-
Mtfne-N- déprtne	-11773,50	-	-11772,20	-	-
Btfne-N- déprtne	-13912,47	-	-13911,02	-	-
π-trans Bgnde	-9635.84	-	-9634.76	-	-
π-trans Mtfne	-11773,21	-	-11771,95	-	-
π -trans Btfne	-13912,00	-	-13910,53	-	-
π-cis1-Bgnde	-9635,65	-	-9634,55	-	-
π-cis1-Mtfne	-11773,21	-	-11771,96	-	-
π-cis1-Btfne	-13911,99	-	-13910,50	-	-
π-cis2-Bgnde	-9635,40	-	-9634,36	-	-
π-cis2-Mtfne	-11773,25	-	-11772,02	-	-
π-cis2-Btfne	-13911,80	-	-13910,27	-	-

Table 14: Energy study of the complexation process



	72450.00		72450.20		
$ZnCl_2$	-73459,09	-	-73458,28	-	-
ZnCl ₂ .Bgnde-N-depe	-83096,41	-1,20	-83094,92	-1,572	Spontané, exothermique
ZnCl ₂ (mfne-N-dep) ₂	-97007,97	-1,88	-97005,61	-2,93	Spontané, exothermique
ZnCl ₂ .btfne-N-dep	-87372,80	-1,24	-87370,93	-1,63	Spontané, exothermique
$ZnCl_2(\pi$ -tbgnde) ₂	-92732,98	-2,21	-92730,90	-3,1	Spontané, exothermique
$ZnCl_2(\pi$ -tmtfne) ₂	-97008,07	-2,56	-97005,54	-3,36	Spontané, exothermique
$ZnCl_2$. π -tbtfne ₂	-	-2,16	-	-3,01	Spontané, exothermique
	101285,25		101282,35		
ZnCl ₂ . π -cis1-bgnde ₂	-92732,49	-2,10	-92730,40	-3,02	Spontané, exothermique
$ZnCl_2$. π -cis1-mtfne ₂	-97008,04	-2,53	-97005,59	-3,39	Spontané, exothermique
$ZnCl_2$. π -cis1-btfne ₂	-	-2,07	-	-2,99	Spontané, exothermique
	101285,14		101282,27		
ZnCl ₂ . π -cis2-bgnde	-83096,27	-1,78	-83094,75	-2,11	Spontané, exothermique
ZnCl ₂ . π-cis2-btfne	-85234,11	- 1,77	-87370,75	-2,10	Spontané, exothermique
ZnCl ₂ . π-cis2-btfne	-87372,67	-1,78	-85232,40	-2,20	Spontané, exothermique

 $\Delta G = Enthalpie \ libre \ des \ espèces; \ \Delta G_{coord} = Enthalpie \ libre \ de \ coordination; \\ \Delta G_{coord} = \Delta G_{complexe} - (\Delta G_{ligand} + \Delta G_{ZnCl2}) \ ou \ \Delta G_{coord} = \Delta G_{complexe} - (2\Delta G_{ligand} + \Delta G_{ZnCl2}).$

4. Conclusion

The present work focused on the DFT study of the coordination of some biguanides.

The analysis of the coordination indicators namely the geometrical parameters, the atomic charges, the electrostatic potentials, the frontier orbitals and the superelocalisability indices allowed us to show that the coordination of these biguanides is done by the nitrogen atoms in the sp^2 hybridization state.

Twelve biguanide complexes with Zn (II) were theoretically obtained. The π -cis-2 conformers gave relatively more stable chelates complexes. In these complexes, Zn (II) has the tetrahedral structure where two of the vertices are occupied by two chlorine atoms and the other two by the nitrogen atoms.

All complexation processes have been spontaneous and exothermic.

Acknowledgement

Our sincere thanks to Professor Mickaël BADAWI, researcher in the « Laboratoire de physique et chimie théoriques" of Lorraine university (France) and to all laboratory team for allowing us to carry out a good part of our calculations on their computing installations.

References

- 1. Campbell, R. K., White, J. R. and Saulie, B. A. (1996). Metformin: A New Oral Biguanide. *Clin. Ther. 18*, 360-371.
- 2. Azizov M.A. (1969). *O Komplexnih soedineniah nekotorih microelementov s bioactivnimi vechestvami*. ed. Medetsina, Tachkent, .
- 3. Büchel K. H., Moretto H. H. et al. (2000). *Industrial inorganic chemistry*. Weinheim, Germany. 667 p. DOI 10.1002/9783527613328.
- 4. Bäuerlein E., Arias J. L. et al. (2007). *Biological aspects and structure formation*. Weinheim, Germany. p. 309-327. DOI 10.1002/9783527619443.
- Bäuerlein E., Kawasaki K. et al. (2007). *Biological aspects and structure formation*. Weinheim, Germany. p. 331-347. DOI 10.1002/9783527619443.
- 6. Bäuerlein E., Frankel R.B. et al. (2007). *Biological aspects and structure formation*. Weinheim, Germany. p. 127-144. DOI 10.1002/9783527619443.



- Andersen O. (1999). Principles and recent developments in chelation treatment of metal intoxication. *Chem. Rev.*, 99 (9). 2683–2710. DOI 10.1021/cr980453a.
- 8. Klopman-Perade Jordi-Gomez. (1967). Polyelectr.Pertub.Treat. of Chem. Reacti. 8.165-174.
- Gómez-Jeria J. S. and Flores-Catalán M. (2013). Quantum-chemical Modeling of the Relationships between Molecular Structure and *In Vitro* Multi-Step, Multimechanistic Drug Effects. HIV-1 Replication Inhibition and Inhibition of Cell Proliferation as Examples. *Can. Chem. Trans.* 1. (3). 215-237.
- 10. Gómez-Jeria J. S. (2013). A New Set of Local Reactivity Indices within the Hartree-Fock-Roothaan and Density Functional Theory Frameworks. *Can. Chem. Trans.* 1. (1). 25-55.
- 11. Frisch, M. J., Trucks G. W. H. B. et al. (2009). Gaussian, Inc. , Wallingford Ct
- 12. Prasad V. B., Dhilon S. P. and Pansy I. (2005). Pharmacophoric Features of Biguanide Derivatives: An Electronic and Structural Analysis. *Department of Medicinal Chemistry, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (Nipper), S.A.S.* Punjab, India. 48.7615-7622.
- 13. Mason R. Acta Crystallogr. (1961). 14. 720.
- 14. Mcmillan J. A.. Chern. Rev. (1962). 62, 65.
- 15. Debabrata S. (1969). Silicon and the Related Elements. J. Chem. Soc. (A). Calculatta, India 32.
- 16. Meenu Puri (2012). Metformin and Biguanide Complexes of Isothiocyanatosilane. *Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and related elements* 187(9). 1026-1031.
- 17. Kuevi U.A. (1992). Etudes sur La Formation de Complexes du Zinc(II) et du Cuivre(II) avec le Benzamine, le N,N-Dimethylbenzamide lt le Succinimide. (Master dissertation). Université Russe de l'amitié des Peuples, Moscou.
- 18. Glinka N. (1981). Chimie générale. Tome 1, ed. Mir, Moscou, p 94
- 19. Ahmetov N. S. (1988). Obshaya I neorganitcheskaya himia. ed. Vishaya shcola, Moscow, pp 297, 328
- 20. Huheey J.E. (1987). Inorganic chemistry. ed. Himia, Moscow, p 622

