

Research Article

ISSN: 2455-8990 CODEN(USA): CRJHA5

Stability-Indicating RP-HPLC Method Development and Validation for the Quantification of Ketorolac Tromethamine Tablets in Solid Oral Dosage Forms

Naveen Maddukuri¹, Ashok Morsu², Teja Kamireddy³, Prasanna Kumar Lankalapalli⁴, Pranitha Sambu⁵, Vijaykumar chollety⁶*

¹Invagen Pharmaceutical Inc, 550S Research Pl, Central Islip, NY, USA-11722

²Thermo Fisher 3900 paramount parkway Morrisville N.C.27560

³August Bioservices 1845 Elm Hill Pike, Nashville, TN, 37210

⁴Visual Technologies LLC, 5851 Legacy Circle, Plano TX 75024

⁵Aurex Laboratories Pvt Ltd. Prashanthi nagar, Kukatpally, Hyderabad, India

⁶*Ascent pharmaceuticals inc ,400 S Technology Dr, Central Islip, NY,11722

cholletivijay@gmail.com

Abstract

The present study discusses the development of a simple, rapid, specific, precise, and accurate stability-indicating HPLC method for the analysis of Ketorolac tromethamine tablet dosage forms. Chromatographic separation was achieved using a mobile phase comprising methanol, water, and glacial acetic acid in a ratio of 550:440:10 (v/v/v). Detection was carried out at 254 nm for Ketorolac tromethamine. Analytical techniques were optimized to enhance sensitivity and specificity for the estimation of pharmaceutical drug products. Stress studies were evaluated under different ICH conditions. The optimized HPLC method was validated in accordance with current ICH guidelines, demonstrating high specificity with linearity in the range of $2.5\mu g/mL-50\mu g/mL$ for Ketorolac tromethamine, and a correlation coefficient >0.999. The method exhibited accuracy exceeding 97%. In stress studies, Ketorolac tromethamine was found to be sensitive to acidic and oxidative stress conditions. The method was deemed suitable for quality control of Ketorolac tromethamine tablets as well as for stability-indicating studies.

Keywords: Ketorolac tromethamine (KTR), HPLC, Method development, Validation, PDA

1. Introduction

KTR is non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are prescribed to treat pain and inflammation caused by musculoskeletal and joint problems, as well as surgical procedures. Due to the high prevalence of rheumatoid illnesses in India, these medications are widely used. Many NSAIDs have been developed, one of which is KTR (Figure 1). Chemically, KTR is (\pm) -5-benzoyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrrolizine-1-carboxylic acid, 2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol. It is a potent antipyretic and anti-inflammatory agent, being 800 times more potent than aspirin. A literature survey reveals several methods reported for the determination of Ketorolac Tromethamine, including high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [1],[3],[4],[5], HPTLC [6], and UV-visible spectroscopy [2]. The initial literature search indicated that most of the reported HPLC methods for



Ketorolac Tromethamine, including those in official compendia, were developed using C8, C18, or polymeric columns. However, these methods often exhibited poor peak shapes and considerable tailing. Consequently, efforts were made to develop a simple method according to ICH guidelines using a C18 column, with a focus on optimizing conditions such as lowering the column temperature. Therefore, forced decomposition studies were carried out in compliance with ICH requirements, and the method was developed and validated to the best of our understanding.



Figure 1: Structure of Ketorolac tromethamine

The current study is the first-ever report of an RP-HPLC stability-indicating method for Ketorolac Tromethamine in a solid formulation. Furthermore, forced degradation studies were conducted under various stress conditions, with no interference observed from unknown peaks or inactive excipients in the solid dosage formulation.

2. Materials and Methods

Chemical and reagents

The AR grade Glacial Acetic acid was procured from VWR chemicals, USA. The HPLC grade of Methanol (J.T. beaker) with certified purity of 99.9% was purchased from Avantor performance materials, LLC, Radnor, PA, USA. High quality In-House purity water was used for the experiments (TOC <500ppb, pH about 7.0, Conductivity < 1.0 μ s/cm, finally exposed to UV radiation and followed filtered through 0.2 μ m filter). KTR was procured from Aurore laboratories private limited, Hyderabad, India.

Instrumentation and Software

Waters HPLC system Alliance e2695 separation module with auto injector, temperature controller for sample storage and column was used for current analysis. The signal output was observed through Empower 3 Software Build 3471 SPs Installed: Feature Release 3 DB ID: 2639633283. The LC column is Hypersil BDS C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m, is manufactured by Thermo fisher. Analytical balance model AX205 (make: Mettler Toledo), sonicator (make: ENERTECH), Rotary shaker (make: REMI; model: RS – 24BL) were employed in this work.

Preparation of diluent

Mix 500 mL of methanol, 500ml of Water in the ratio of 50:50 (v/v) and mixed well.

Preparation of standard solution

Preparation of standard stock solution

Accurately weighed and transferred about 20 mg of Ketorolac Tromethamine standard into a 100-mL volumetric flask. Add 50ml of methanol, sonicate to dissolved, dilute to volume with methanol, the solution was mixed well and label. The concentration was about 200μ g/mL of KTR.

Preparation of standard solution (24µg/mL of Ketorolac Tromethamine)

Pipetted 3.0 mL of KTR Standard Stock Solution into a 25-mL volumetric flask, add dilute to the volume with diluent and the solution was mixed well. The concentration was about $24\mu g/mL$ of KTR.

Chromatographic conditions

Chromatographic separation was achieved using a mobile phase consisting of methanol, water, and glacial acetic acid in a ratio of 550:440:10 (v/v), with a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. The diluent was prepared by mixing 500 mL of methanol and 500 mL of water in a 1:1 ratio (v/v). The LC column used was a Hypersil BDS C18 (250 x 4.6 mm,5

 μ m). The isocratic method was employed, with a column temperature of 30°C and a run time of 18 minutes. The injection volume was 50 μ L, and component detection was performed at 254nm.

Preparation of Sample stock (200 µg/mL of KTR)

Weighed and transferred 5 Tablets into a clean dry 250ml volumetric flask. Added 25ml of water and sonicate to disperse the tablets completely, **methanol** was added to about 60ml, and the solution was sonicate for about 20min with intermittent shaking, the flask was allowed to cool the room temperature, diluted to volume with **methanol** and mixed well. The solution was then Centrifuged at 5000RPM for approximately 5min, then filtered the solution through $0.45\mu m$ PVDF discarding the first 3 mL of filtrate.

Preparation of Sample (24 µg/mL of KTR)

Pipet 5.0 mL of Sample Stock Solution into a 50-mL volumetric flask. Diluted to volume with diluent and mixed well and label as Sample Solution. The concentration was about $24 \ \mu g/mL$ of KTR.

3. Results and Discussion

Method Development and Optimization

The main aim of the current method was to separate Ketorolac Tromethamine in the presence of degradant impurities and the placebo in the sample. The selection of analytical conditions was based on the chemical nature of Ketorolac Tromethamine. A systematic study of various factors was undertaken by varying one parameter at a time while keeping all other conditions constant for the development of the analytical method. Ketorolac Tromethamine is soluble in polar solvents, and therefore, RP-HPLC was chosen. The selection of the stationary phase was based on factors such as back pressure, peak shape, theoretical plates, and day-to-day reproducibility of retention time for Ketorolac Tromethamine. After evaluating all these factors, the Hypersil C18 column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm) was chosen for the analysis. The selection of the mobile phase was based on the chemical structure of the selected drug molecule. For the optimization of the mobile phase, preliminary trials were conducted under isocratic conditions using a mobile phase consisting of 2.72 g of monobasic potassium phosphate and methanol in a ratio of 55:45 (v/v) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min on an HPLC system equipped with a photodiode array (PDA) detector and a Thermo Fisher C18 column (150 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm). The peak was co-eluted with impurities and the main peak. To address this issue, an attempt was made using a mobile phase of 2.72 g of monobasic potassium phosphate (0.02 M) in 1000 mL of water, adjusted to pH 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid as the buffer, and mixed with methanol and acetonitrile in the ratio of 60:20:20 (v/v/v). However, the Ketorolac Tromethamine peak was still co-eluted with impurity peaks. Further optimization involved using a mobile phase consisting of 0.02 M phosphate buffer (pH 3.0 with orthophosphoric acid), methanol, and acetonitrile in a ratio of 50:30:20 (v/v/v), but the Ketorolac Tromethamine peak was still not separated from the impurity peak.

Another attempt was made using a mobile phase of methanol, water, and glacial acetic acid in a ratio of 55:44:1 (v/v/v) with a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. Although there was some improvement, the separation and resolution of peaks were still unsatisfactory. Finally, the column was changed to a Thermo Fisher C18 column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m) on an HPLC system equipped with a PDA detector. Under these conditions, the main peak was successfully separated from all impurity peaks. Upon optimizing the chromatographic conditions, the impurity and placebo peaks were well resolved from the KTR peak.

Based on these experiments, the optimized conditions (Fig. 2–Fig. 4) were determined. A Thermo Hypersil BDS C18 column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m) was used as the stationary phase. The elution method was isocratic, with the column temperature maintained at 30°C, detection monitored at 254 nm, an injection volume of 50 μ L, and a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. The typical retention time of Ketorolac Tromethamine was about 10.2 min, respectively (see table 1).





Table 1: Optimized Method development conditions:										
Mobile phase		Column	Flow		Extraction		Observation		result	
			rate(ml/mir	nt)	solvent/di	luen	t			
			elution	mode						
			column	temp						
			injection vo	olume						
0.02M	phosphate	Thermo	1.0ml/mint		Water		and	The KTR pea	ık was	rejected
buffer and methanol in fishe		fisher C18,	isocratic		methanol	in	the	co-eluted	with	



150 x 4.6	30°C,50μL	ratio of (50:50)	impurities and the	
mm, 5µm		(v/v)	main peak	
Thermo	1.0ml/mint	Water and	The KTR peak was	Rejected
fisher C18,	Isocratic	methanol in the	still co-eluted with	
150 x 4.6	30°C,20µL	ratio of (50:50)	impurity peaks	
mm, 5µm		(v/v)		
Thermo	1.0ml/mint	Water and	The KTR peak was	Rejected
fisher C18,	isocratic	methanol in the	still not separated	
150 x 4.6	30°C,20µL	ratio of (50:50)	from the impurity	
mm, 5µm.		(v/v)	peak	
Thermo	1.0ml/mint	Water and	All peaks were	Rejected
fisher C18,	isocratic	methanol in the	separated from	
150 x 4.6	30°C,20µL	ratio of (50:50)	ketorolac peak but	
mm, 5µm		(v/v)	resolution was not	
			satisfied.	
Thermo	1.2ml/mint	Water and	All peaks were	Approved
fisher C18,	isocratic	methanol in the	separated from	
250 x 4.6	30°C,20µL	ratio of (50:50)	ketorolac peak but	
mm, 5µm		(v/v)	resolution was	
			satisfied.	
	150 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m Thermo fisher C18, 150 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m Thermo fisher C18, 150 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m. Thermo fisher C18, 150 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m Thermo fisher C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m	150 x 4.6 30° C, 50μ L mm, 5μ m Thermo Thermo 1.0ml/mint fisher C18, Isocratic 150 x 4.6 30° C, 20μ L mm, 5μ m Thermo Thermo 1.0ml/mint fisher C18, isocratic 150 x 4.6 30° C, 20μ L mm, 5μ m Thermo Thermo 1.0ml/mint fisher C18, isocratic 150 x 4.6 30° C, 20μ L mm, 5μ m Thermo Thermo 1.0ml/mint fisher C18, isocratic 150 x 4.6 30° C, 20μ L mm, 5μ m Thermo Thermo 1.2ml/mint fisher C18, isocratic 250 x 4.6 30° C, 20μ L mm, 5μ m mm, 5μ m	150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,50\mu L$ ratio of (50:50)mm, $5\mu m$ (v/v)Thermo1.0ml/mintfisher C18, Isocraticmethanol in the150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ mm, $5\mu m$ ratio of (50:50)mm, $5\mu m$ (v/v)Thermo1.0ml/mintfisher C18, isocraticmethanol in the150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ mm, $5\mu m$ (v/v)Thermo1.0ml/mintfisher C18, isocraticmethanol in the150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ mm, $5\mu m$ (v/v)Thermo1.0ml/mintfisher C18, isocraticmethanol in the150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ mm, $5\mu m$ (v/v)Thermo1.2ml/mintfisher C18, isocraticmethanol in the150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ mm, $5\mu m$ (v/v)Thermo1.2ml/mintfisher C18, isocraticmethanol in the250 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ mm, $5\mu m$ (v/v)	150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,50\mu L$ ratio of (50:50)impurities and the main peakmm, 5µm1.0ml/mintWaterandThe KTR peak wasfisher C18,Isocraticmethanolin the ratio of (50:50)still co-eluted with150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ ratio of (50:50)impurity peaksmm, 5µm(v/v)ratio of (50:50)impurity peaksThermo1.0ml/mintWaterandThe KTR peak wasfisher C18,isocraticmethanolin the still not separated150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ ratio of (50:50)from the impuritymm, 5µm1.0ml/mintWaterandThermo1.0ml/mintWaterandfisher C18,isocraticmethanolin the separated150 x 4.6 $30^{\circ}C,20\mu L$ ratio of (50:50)ketorolac peak butmm, 5µm(v/v)resolution was not satisfied.Thermo1.2ml/mintWaterandAll peaks weremethanol in the separatedseparated from ratio of (50:50)Thermo1.2ml/mintWaterandAll peaks weremethanol in the separatedseparated from ratio of (50:50)Ketorolac peak but mm, 5µmmethanol in the separatedmethanol in the separatedThermo1.2ml/mintWaterandAll peaks were separatedratio of (50:50)ketorolac peak but resolution was satisfied.

Method Validation

The method was validated based on International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Q2(R1)

Guidelines (ICH 2005). (Sreenivas, P. et al., 2022, Pippala et al., 2023,). Validation parameters included linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity and forced degradation (Jyothsna et al., 2024a, 2024b, Teja Kami Reddy et al., 2024) **Linearity**

To prove the linearity of the optimized method, A sequence of concentrations were made for Ketorolac Tromethamine ($2.4\mu g /mL$ to $48\mu g /mL$) from the concentration range (10% to 200% with respect to standard concentration) by using suitable amount of the stock solutions. A curve was created by mapping the peak response and concentration. The component was shown correlation coefficient > 0.999.

The results of were shown Table 2.

Precision

Precision reflects the degree of agreement between individual test results obtained by applying the procedure or method to a homogeneous sample (Sreenivas et al., 2024a; Prasanna Lankalapalli et al., 2024). Typically, it is expressed as variance or standard deviation (SD). Under normal conditions, it serves as a measure of repeatability or reproducibility.

Intra-day precision was assessed under similar conditions by performing replicate applications and measuring peak areas six times on the same day. Inter-day precision was evaluated by repeating the assay six times over two different days, from which %RSD values were calculated. The % relative standard deviation was determined to be not more than 2.0. The intra-day and inter-day precision results are shown in Table 2 respectively.

Accuracy

To prove the accuracy of the optimized method, three levels (50%, 100%, and 150%) were prepared. Each sample was prepared in triplicate, with concentrations ranging from $12\mu g/mL$, $24\mu g/mL$, and $48\mu g/mL$ of KTR. The recovery was calculated as the ratio of the amount estimated to the amount spiked. All the method validation parameters results were shown in Table 2.



Validation parameters	Ketorolac Tromethamine			
Tailing factor	1.1			
%RSD n=5	0.1			
Linearity range(µg/ml)	2.52-48.12			
Coefficient(r ²)	0.99999			
Slope	80380.28			
(%) Y-intercept	3259.90			
Bias	0.0672			
Residual sum of squares	101958552.4			
ACC-50% mean, %RSD(n=3)	99.2, 1.3			
ACC-100% mean %RSD(n=3)	100.8 ,0.8			
ACC-150% mean %RSD(n=3)	101.0 ,0.5			
Method precision %RSD(n=6)	1.0			
Intermediate precision % RSD (n=12)	0.8			

Table 2: Method validation summary for Ketorolac Tromethamine

Specificity

Prepared blank, placebo as per the optimized test procedure and verified the interference of excipients and diluent peaks at retention time of active peaks (Santhi Priya et al., 2024 and Vaishnavi 2024). The results showed no interference at active peaks. To prove the stability indicating power of optimized analytical method performed the forced degradation in various conditions like acid, base, peroxide, thermal and humidity conditions as per the current ICH Q2(R1) guidelines.

Exposed the samples to 5 mL of 0.1 N hydrochloric acid at 80°C for 24 hr on water bath. Base hydrolysis exposed samples to 5mL of 0.1 N Sodium hydroxide at 80°C for 24 hr on water bath. Further neutralized and prepared samples as per the test procedure. For Oxidative degradation, sample was treated with 5 mL of hydrogen peroxide (3% v/v), at RT for 24 hr. For thermal degradation, sample powder was exposed to $105^{\circ}C$ for 7 days in hot air oven. For Humidity degradation, sample powder was exposed to 90% for 7 days on the desiccator. During the acid, Base, water, peroxide and thermal, humidity KTR were shown major degradation in acid and peroxide conditions. All chromatograms were shown no interference at retention time of Ketorolac Tromethamine and known impurities . The purity of peaks was monitored by using Empower 3 software, the purity angle is less than that of purity threshold in all stress conditions. All the conditions results were shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Forced degradation as per ICH for Ketorolac Tromethamine							
Sample name	Condition	%Recovery Based on Control	Purity Angle	Purity Threshold			
Control	Not Stressed	100.0	0.055	1.026			
Acid Hydrolysis	5mL of 0.1N HCl at 80°C for 24hours	95.2	0.690	1.035			
Base Hydrolysis	5mL of 0.1N NaoH at 80°C for 24hours	98.7	0.055	1.064			
Water Hydrolysis	5mL of Water 80°C for 24hours	100.0	0.057	1.020			
Oxidation	5mL of $3\%H_2O_2$ RT for 24 hours	90.5	0.067	1.027			
Thermal	105°C for 7 Days	99.1	0.062	1.023			
Humidity	90% for 7 Days	99.5	0.042	0.94			



4. Conclusion

A simple, economical, rapid and RP—HPLC stability indicating method has been effectively optimized for. The optimized method was further validated for specificity, linearity, precision and accuracy, parameters. Further, stress studies were executed under various ICH stress conditions and proved stability indicating nature. Explicitly, the method was developed and validated and in quality control lab for stability analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Aurore life science Pvt. Ltd. (India) for providing a gift sample of the standard fluphenazine hydrochloride.

References

- G. Sunil, M. Jambulingam, S. Ananda Thangadurai, D. Kamalakannan, R. Sundaraganapathy, C. Jothimanivannan (2014) Development and validation of Ketorolac Tromethamine in eye drop formulation by RP-HPLC. Arabian Journal of Chemistry, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2012.12.031,pp:1-8
- [2]. Gandhi, L.R., Dewani, A.P., Bakal, R.L., Shiradkar, M.R., Chandewar, A.V., (2011). Absorption ratio method for the estimation of Moxifloxacin HCL & Ketorolac Tromethamine in their combined dosage form by UV–Visible spectroscopy. Int. J. Pharm. Res. Dev.3 (7), pp:21–26.
- [3]. C. Hazarathaiah Yadav (2015) the estimation of Ketorolac drug in Ketorolac Tromethamine eye drops by RP-HPLC J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 7(6), pp: 562-567
- [4]. Pawar S., Punekar, A., Gosavi, S., Gorde, P., & Harak, S. (2022). Development and validation of RP-HPLC method for determination of ketorolac tromethamine from bulk and pharmaceutical formulations. International Journal of Health Sciences, 6(S2),pp: 14440–14447. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS2.8782
- [5]. Manwar JV, Patil SS, Bhalerao CA, Mandpe SR and Kumbhar DD (2017) Experimental Design Approach for Chromatographic Determination of Ketorolac Tromethamine from Bulk Drug and Tablet Formulation Volume 3 Issue 2 - July 2017 DOI: 10.19080/GJPPS.2017.03.555609,pp: 001-0010
- [6]. Sejal P.Gandhi, Mohit G.Dewani, Tejas C. Borole, Mrinalini C. Damle(2011) Development and Validation of Stability Indicating HPTLC Method for Determination of Ofloxacin and Ketorolac Tromethamine in Combination. J Adv Sci Res, 2011, 2(3): pp:77-82
- [7]. Hareesh Divadari, Teja Kamireddy, Rama Krishna Myneni, Vijaykumar Chollety, Pranitha Sambu, Srinivasulu Kasa, Ashok Morsu, Prasanna Kumar Lankalapalli(2024) Stability indicating HPLC method development and validation for quantification of salicylic acid impurity in acetaminophen aspirin caffeine oral solid dosage forms Volume 9, Issue 6 Nov Dec 2024, pp: 747-758 www.ijprajournal.com ISSN: 2456-4494.
- [8]. Jyothsna, M., Vaishnavi, Ch., Leela Prasad, K., Surya Prakash Rao, P. et al., (2024a) Quality by design tool evaluated green stability-indicating UPLC content determination method for the Olanzapine and Samidorphan dosage form. Microche J., 197, 109835.pp:765-772 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2023.109835.
- [9]. Jyothsna, M., Vaishnavi, Ch., Phani Raja, K., Naresh Kumar, K., et al. (2024b). Quality by Design Tool Assessed Ultraperformance Liquid Chromatography Method for the Analysis of Remogliflozin and Teneligliptin in Oral Dosage Form. ACS Omega, 9, pp:12553-12563. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c04589. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.6048.
- [10]. Santhi Priya L., Vijaya Rachel K., Vaishnavi Ch. et al., (2024). A quality-by-design evaluated liquid chromatography method development and validation for the separation and quantification of nitroxoline and its impurities. Sep Sci Plus., 47,1, 2300760. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.202300760



- [11]. Pippalla, S., Nekkalapudi, A.R., Jillellamudi, S.B., Reddy, M.P., Kumar, C.V. (2023). A stabilityindicating, reversed-phase HPLC method for quantification of assay and organic impurities in doxycycline hyclate bulk and parenteral dosage forms. Biomed Chromatogr., 2023 e5626. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.5626
- [12]. Prasanna kumar Lankalapalli, Teja Kamireddy, Pranitha Sambu(2024) A Simple and Rapid HPLC Method Development for Quantification of Sodium Benzoate Content in Chlorzoxazone Oral Solid Dosage Forms. Biomed chromatogr.,39,1 2024 November. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.6048
- [13]. Sreenivas, P., Arjuna Rao, N., Suresh Babu, J. (2022) Stability Indicating RP-UPLC Method for Quantification of Glycopyrrolate, Methylparaben and Propylparaben Assay in Liquid Oral Formulation. Amer J Anal Chem 13(12). https://doi.org/10.4236/ajac.2022.1312036
- [14]. Sreenivas, P., Arjuna Rao, N., Venugopal Reddy, K. (2024a) A validated stability-indicating reversedphase-UPLC method for simultaneous estimation of promethazine hydrochloride, methylparaben, propylparaben and sodium benzoate assay of cough suppressant and antihistamine liquid oral dosage forms. Biomed Chromatogr., e5944. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.5944
- [15]. Teja Kamireddy, Pranitha Sambu, Prasanna Kumar Lankalapalli, Rama Krishna Myneni, Hareesh Divadari, Stability-indicating RP-HPLC method development and validation for the quantification of amlodipine besylate and valsartan tablets in solid oral dosage form. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.6017 Publication Date: Sep 26, 2024
- [16]. Vaishnavi Ch. (2024). Stability indicating reversed-phase-high-performance liquid chromatography method development and validation for pyridostigmine bromide and sodium benzoate in oral solution. Biomed Chromatogr., 38, 3, e5800. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.5800

