



Phytochemical Analysis and *In vitro* Antimicrobial Assay of the Methanolic Stem Bark Extract of *Boswellia dalzielii* Hutch. (Burseraceae)

Umar T. Mamza^{1*}, Olufunke A. Sodipo², Fannah I. Abdulrahman¹, Irfan Z. Khan¹

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria

²Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, College of Medical Sciences, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria

Abstract The methanolic stem bark extract of *Boswellia dalzielii* (BDME) were phytochemically screened and investigated *in vitro* against six Gram negative bacteria (*S. typhi*, *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. dysenteriae*, *P. aeruginosa* and *P. mirabilis*), four Gram positive bacteria (*S. aureus*, *Corynae. spp.*, *S. pyogene* and *B. subtilis*) and four fungal strains (*C. albicans*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger* and *Rhizopus spp.*) using hole-in-plate disc diffusion technique. The qualitative phytochemical examinations of the crude extract revealed the presence of alkaloids, aloes, cardenolides, cardiac glycosides, terpenoids, cyanogenic glycosides, flavonoids, steroids, resins, saponins, tannins, higher fatty acids and carbohydrates. The *in vitro* antimicrobial assay revealed that, the DIZ against Gram -ve, Gram +ve and fungal strains ranged from 7.33±0.33 to 16.66±0.33 mm, 8.33±0.33 to 17.00±0.00 mm and 9.00±0.00 to 17.33±0.33 mm respectively. The overall susceptibility showed that BDME was more susceptible to fungal strain (*C. albicans*) than Gram -ve and Gram +ve, although the inhibitory effect in some particular cases insignificant ($P>0.05$) against *E. coli*, *S. typhi*, *S. dysenteriae*, *P. mirabilis*, *S. aureus*, *Corynaebacterium spp.* at highest dosage (200 mg/hole) compared with standard antibiotic Erythromycin (5µg). The MIC, MBC and MFC results revealed that Gram +ve, Gram -ve and fungal strains ranged from 12.5 to 50 mg/ml, 12.5 to 25 mg/ml and 6.25 mg/ml respectively. The BDME was more inhibitory and fungicidal at 6.25 mg/ml against *C. albicans*. The extract has also shown a remarkable inhibitory and bactericidal effect on Gram +ve and Gram -ve bacteria at 12.5 mg/ml against *Corynae. spp.*, *S. dysenteriae* and *B. subtilis* respectively. The sensitivity pattern of BDME across the strains of the pathogens studied compared with standard antibiotics (Ciprofloxacin, Erythromycin and Gentamicin) and antifungal (ketoconazole) were susceptible to Gram +ve bacteria with the percentage activity of 100 %, Gram -ve bacteria (66.67 %) and fungal strains (100 %). The spectral intensity index (SII) expressed by the extract was found to be 7.27 mm against all the pathogens. These sensitivities observed were due to the presence of the secondary metabolites in the plant.

Keywords phytochemical, antimicrobial, *Boswellia dalzielii*, microorganisms, *in vitro*

Introduction

Boswellia dalzielii Hutch is a tree plant of the savannah forest belonging to the family Burseraceae. The plant is commonly called Frankincense tree. It is locally known as “arrarabi”, in Hausa; “Kaushi” in Kanuri; “debro” in Babur/Bura; “mofu” in Marghi all in Nigerian languages. The plant is popularly known as “piangwogu” in Upper Volta and Ghana; it is also called “etan” in Ethiopia, “libanos” in Greek and “luban” in Arabic [1,2,3]. *B. dalzielii* is



widely distributed in dry climates of tropical and subtropical regions, such as Northern Nigeria, Northern Ivory Coast, Cameroon, Upper Volta, Togo, Burkina faso, Benin, Ethiopia, Poland, Czech Republic, India and Ubangishari [1-5]. The tree has a characteristic smooth, pale brown bark that peels off in ragged papery patches, which on rapping exudes a whitish fragrant resin. It grows up to 13 m high [1]. The small, white flowers, which may appear while the tree is leafless, are fragrant.

B. dalzielii has wide array of medicinal values in folk medicine as antiseptic, anti-arthritis, wound healing, antimalaria, antidiarrhoea, anti-inflammatory, antibacteria, anti-fungal, anti-trypanosomal, anti-hepatitis, anti-HIV/AIDS, antidotes to arrow poison and for the treatment of rheumatism, leprosy, gastrointestinal troubles [3-9]. The plant is also reported for the treatment of dental problems, swellings, bronchitis, coughs, gastric disorder, asthmatic attack, pulmonary diseases and skin ailments, among others [6, 10]. According to [6,11], *B. dalzielii* has shown a potent immunological effect and immune modulatory activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo* in northern Cameroon. This study is designed to investigate the phytochemical constituents and antimicrobial (antibacterial and antifungal) activities of *B. dalzielii* in order to validate its medicinal use in ethno-medicine.

Materials and Methods

Collection and Identification of Plant

The stem bark of *B.dalzielii* was collected from Bagale village, Giere Local Government Area, Adamawa State, Nigeria (Long. 14° 23.32' E; Lat. 11° 01.22' N).It was identified and authenticated by a plant Taxonomist from the Department of Biological Sciences, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria. The herbarium specimen was deposited at the Post Graduate Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry with voucher number #340 provided. The stem bark of the plant was cleaned, chopped into pieces, air-dried under shade for fourteen days and pulverized into fine powder and then coded "plant material".

Extraction of Plant Material

The air-dried powdered plant material (2000 g) was extracted exhaustively with 95 % methanol in distilled water using cold maceration method. The crude extract was concentrated to dryness at reduced pressure using rotary evaporator and the extract coded 'BDME'- *Boswellia dalzielii* Methanolic Extract.

Phytochemical Screening

0.2 g each of the BDME was subjected to qualitative chromogenic phytochemical screening to test for the presence of the following secondary plant metabolites: alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, tannins, glycosides (cardiac, steroidal), terpenes/terpenoids, fatty acids, resins, aloes, cyanogenic glycosides etc. as described by [12-15].

Antimicrobial Studies

A total of fourteen microorganisms were used in this study: four Gram positive bacteria (*S. aureus*, *Corynae. spp.*, *S. pyogene*, and *B. subtilis*), six Gram negative bacteria (*S. typhi*, *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. dysenteriae*, *P. aeruginosa* and *P. mirabilis*) and four fungal strains (*C. albicans*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger* and *Rhizopus spp.*). Standard antibiotics and antifungal discs used were Ciprofloxacin (5µg/disc), Erythromycin (5 µg/disc), Gentamicin (10 µg/disc) and ketoconazole (10 µg/disc), produced by Oxiod Ltd., Hampshire, England. These organisms were clinical isolates obtained from the Department of Medical Microbiology University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital (UMTH), University of Maiduguri- Nigeria.

The BDME was subjected to preliminary antimicrobial evaluation against the pathogens stated above using the hole-in-plate disc diffusion technique as described by [16, 17]. The crude extract was prepared in four different concentrations of 25, 50, 100 and 200 mg/ml by dissolving 0.25g, 0.5 g, 1.0 g and 2.0 g, respectively into 10 ml each of 95 % methanol in distilled water (v/v) as working concentrations.

The microorganisms were maintained on agar slants. The inocula was prepared by subjecting the test organisms in nutrient broth and inoculated for 24 h at 35 °C. After inoculation, the broth cultures were diluted to 1:1000 for Gram +ve bacteria and 1:5000 for the Gram -ve bacteria. One milliliter of the diluted cultures was inoculated into 19 ml



sterile molten nutrient agar (48 °C) and poured into sterile petri-dishes. These were swirled gently and then allowed to solidify. Afterwards, holes of 9 mm diameter were bored into the solidified and inoculated nutrient agar plates using a sterile number VI cork borer. All the holes were filled with equal volumes of 0.1 ml (25, 50, 100, 200 µg/hole) of the crude extract. The reference antibiotics and antifungal that were used include Ciprofloxacin (5 µg/disc); Erythromycin (5 µg/disc); Gentamicin (10 µg/disc) and ketoconazole (10 µg/disc). These standard discs were placed on already bacteria-inoculated nutrient agar plates for an hour to allow the extract diffuse into the agar. Thereafter, the plates were then incubated overnight at 37 °C and 35 °C for the fungi and bacterial strains respectively. At the end of the incubation period, inhibition zones were recorded in millimetres as the diameter of growth-free zones around the bored holes using a transparent metre rule. The crude extract and the standard antibiotics/antifungal were independently tested in triplicate. Diametres of zones of inhibition ≥ 10 mm exhibited by plant extracts were considered active [17, 18]. The activity index, percentage activity, spectral intensity index, MIC, MBC and MFC of the BDME were equally carried out to buttress the scientific investigation of the antimicrobial sensitivity of the plant.

Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The MIC was determined using the nutrient broth dilution technique as described by [17, 19]. The minimum inhibitory concentration value was determined for the microorganisms that were sensitive to the extracts under study. Each extract was first diluted to the highest concentration (100 mg/ml) in 95% methanol in distilled water (v/v) and then two-fold serial dilution of the crude extract was then made to a concentration ranging from 3.123 to 50 mg/ml using nutrient broth (by dissolving 13 g/L). The concentration was then varied depending on the extracts and level of activity. The extracts were inoculated with 1 ml suspension of the organisms and thereafter incubated at 35 °C and 37 °C for bacterial and fungal strains respectively.

Determination of Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

The MBC was determined using the broth dilution technique previously described by [17, 19] as adopted by Usman *et al.* (2007); Sodipo *et al.* (2016) by assessing the test tubes resulting from MIC determinations. A loopful of the content of each test tube was inoculated by streaking on a solidified nutrient agar plate and then incubated at 35 °C for 24 hours and observed for bacterial growth. The lowest concentration of the sub-culture that shows no bacterial growth was considered the minimum bactericidal concentration.

Determination of Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC)

The MFC was determined using the broth dilution technique [17, 19] by assessing the test tubes resulting from MIC determinations. A loopful of the content of each test tube was inoculated by streaking on a solidified nutrient agar plate and then incubated at 37 °C for seven days and observed for fungal growth. The lowest concentration of the sub-culture that shows no fungal growth was considered the MFC.

Statistical Analysis of Data

The generated antimicrobial data were presented as Mean \pm standard error mean (SEM). Statistical analysis to determine the mean differences among the DIZ exhibited were expressed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with student - Newman - Keul's multiple comparison test using GraphPadInStat [20], where $P < 0.05$ considered significant.

Results and Discussion

The qualitative phytochemical examinations of the crude revealed the presence of alkaloids, aloes, cardenolides, cardiac glycosides, terpenoids, cyanogenic glycosides, flavonoids, steroids, resins, saponins, tannins, higher fatty acids and carbohydrates (Table 1). These metabolites were responsible for the physiological and chemotherapeutic effects exhibited by plant extractives both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [21-23]. Alkaloids have been shown to possess antibacterial, antidiabetic and anticancer activities [24-25]. Tannins have been reported to inhibit growth of microorganisms by precipitating microbial protein and making nutritional protein unavailable to them [26]. The



antimicrobial effects of flavonoids have been attributed to their ability to complex with extra cellular, soluble protein and to complex with bacterial cell wall proteins [27]. Flavonoids have shown antifungal, antibacterial as well as anti-inflammatory activity [28, 29]. Saponins are known to have antimicrobial properties. Saponins and terpenes have antimicrobial and curative properties against various pathogens. Terpenoids and glycosides play the role of protective against different pathogens like insects, fungi and bacteria [29-31]. Steroids are known for their antibacterial activity especially associated with membrane lipids and causes leakages from liposomes. The presence of these constituents in the stem bark of *B. dalzielii* suggests that the plant is pharmacologically active, thus supporting the claims by traditional healers.

Table 1: Phytochemical constituents of methanol stem bark extract of *Boswellia dalzielii*

S/No	Phytochemicals	Test	Results CM	
1	Alkaloids	Dragendorff's	+	
		Mayer's	+	
		Wagner's	-	
2	Aloes		+	
3	Anthroquinones	Borntrager's	-	
		Free Anthroq.	-	
		Combined Anth.	-	
4	Cardenolides	Legal's	+	
		Keller-Kilianis	+	
5	Cardiac glycosides	Salkowski's	+	
		Liebermann-Burchard	+	
6	Terpenoids		+	
7	Cyanogenic glycoside		+	
8	Flavonoids	Shinoda's	+	
		Ferric chloride	+	
		Lead acetate	+	
		NAOH	-	
9	Higher fatty acids		+	
10	Phlobatannins		-	
11	Resins		+	
12	Saponins	Frothing	+	
		Fehling's	+	
13	Tannins	Ferric chloride	+	
		Lead acetate	+	
		Gold beater's	+	
14	Steroids	10% HCl	-	
15	Carbohydrates			
		i. General test	Molisch's	+
		ii. Monosaccharides	Barfoed's	+
		iii. Free reducing sugar	Fehling's	+
		iv. Combined reducing sugar	Fehling's	+
		v. Soluble starch		+
		vi. Ketoses	Salivanoff's	+
vii. Pentoses		+		

Key: CM= Crude methanolic extract, - =absent, + =present



The results of the diameters zone of inhibition (DIZ) of BDME is presented in Table 2; the DIZ exhibited by BDME against Gram +ve bacteria were found in the range of 8.33±0.33 to 17.00±0.00 mm, Gram -ve 7.33±0.33 to 16.66±0.33 mm while 9.00±0.00 to 17.33±0.33 mm was recorded as range of values against fungal strains studied. This observation is in line with the fact that plant extractive with diameters of inhibition zones ≥10 mm is considered active as described by [17,18].

The susceptibility data revealed that BDME was more susceptible to fungal strain (*C. albicans*) than Gram -ve and Gram +ve bacteria, although the inhibition in some particular cases insignificant ($P>0.05$) against *E. coli*, *S. typhi*, *S. dysenteriae*, *P. mirabilis*, *S. aureus*, *Corynaebacterium spp.* at highest dosage (200 mg/hole) compared with standard antibiotic Erythromycin (5µg). The extract showed activity dose dependent across the all pathogens as seen from comparative dosage analysis on extract concentrations which revealed that significant difference ($P<0.05$, 0.01, 0.001) were observed at 100 and 200 mg/ml on most pathogens (bacteria and fungus). No significant difference ($P>0.05$) was noted between Gentamicin, Erythromycin and BDME at 200 mg/ml against *S. dysenteriae* suggesting that the BDME at higher doses could contain phytochemicals that these bacteria and fungal strains are susceptible to; while variable differences were observed at lower doses, this trend is in line with the report of [32]. Also, no significance difference ($P>0.05$) effects was noted as shown at 100 mg/hole between ketoconazole and BDME against *C. albicans* but at highest dosage of the extract (200 mg/hole), the DIZ was higher (17.33±0.33 mm) compared to ketoconazole (15.40±0.33 mm). The comparative studies among the various doses of the BDME have shown that, there were no significant ($P>0.05$) effects noted as shown at 25 and 50 mg/hole against *P. aeruginosa*, *P. mirabilis*, *S. aureus*, *S. pyogene*, *B. subtilis* (Table 2). The remaining activity revealed significant ($P<0.05$, 0.01, 0.001) difference compared to all antibiotics and antifungal considered in this study. This pattern of comparison is an indicative of the presence of phytochemicals of similar or more than the antibiotics [32, 33]. The inhibitions of these pathogens (Gram +ve, Gram -ve and Fungal Strains) were dose dependent. The three fungal strains (*A. niger*, *A. flavus* and *Rhizopus spp.*) have showed resistance against extract concentrations under study.

Table 2: Susceptibility pattern of BDME at various concentrations on some microorganisms compared to that of standard antibiotics

Microorganisms	Concentrations (mg/hole) /Diameters of Inhibition Zone Mean±SEM (mm)						
	25 mg/hole	50 mg/hole	100 mg/hole	200 mg/hole	Ery. 5µg	Cip. 5µg	Gen. 10µg Ketaconazole
<i>E. coli</i>	0.00±0.00 ^a	0.00±0.00 ^a	8.33±0.33 ^b	11.66±0.33 ^c	12.66±0.33 ^c	20.33±0.33 ^d	18.00±0.00 ^d NT
<i>S. typhi</i>	0.00±0.00 ^a	0.00±0.00 ^a	8.00±0.00 ^b	10.00±0.00 ^c	10.66±0.33 ^c	21.66±0.88 ^d	17.00±0.57 ^e NT
<i>S. dysenteriae</i>	9.00±0.00 ^a	11.33±0.33 ^b	12.66±0.33 ^b	16.33±0.33 ^c	17.00±0.00 ^c	24.00±0.00 ^d	16.00±0.00 ^c NT
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	9.00±0.00 ^a	10.33±0.33 ^a	12.66±0.33 ^b	15.00±0.00 ^c	12.00±0.00 ^b	25.66±0.33 ^d	19.33±0.33 ^e NT
<i>K. pneumonia</i>	8.66±0.33 ^a	10.66±0.33 ^b	14.00±0.00 ^c	17.00±0.00 ^d	13.33±0.33 ^c	25.00±0.00 ^e	15.00±0.00 ^c NT
<i>P. mirabilis</i>	8.33±0.33 ^a	9.33±0.33 ^a	12.33±0.33 ^b	15.33±0.33 ^c	14.00±0.00 ^c	20.00±0.57 ^d	17.66±0.57 ^e NT
<i>S. aureus</i>	8.33±0.33 ^a	8.66±0.33 ^a	10.33±0.33 ^b	12.66±0.33 ^c	13.00±0.00 ^c	25.00±0.00 ^d	17.00±0.00 ^c NT
<i>S. pyogene</i>	7.33±0.33 ^a	8.33±0.33 ^a	9.33±0.33 ^a	11.00±0.00 ^b	21.00±0.57 ^c	20.00±0.57 ^c	19.00±0.00 ^c NT
<i>B. subtilis</i>	7.66±0.33 ^a	8.66±0.33 ^a	10.66±0.33 ^b	13.66±0.33 ^c	17.33±0.57 ^d	25.00±0.00 ^e	21.66±0.57 ^f NT
<i>Cory. Spp.</i>	8.66±0.33 ^a	11.33±0.33 ^b	13.33±0.33 ^c	16.66±0.33 ^d	17.00±0.00 ^d	28.66±0.33 ^e	20.00±0.00 ^f NT
<i>C. albicans</i>	9.00±0.00 ^a	11.00±0.00 ^b	14.00±0.57 ^c	17.33±0.33 ^d	NT	NT	NT 15.40±0.33 ^c
<i>A. niger</i>	-	-	-	-	NT	NT	NTNT
<i>A. flavus</i>	-	-	-	-	NT	NT	NTNT
<i>R. spp.</i>	-	-	-	-	NT	NT	NTNT

Key: Data are mean±SEM, n=3, *E. Coli*=*Escherichia coli*, *S. typhi*=*Salmonella typhi*, *S. dysenteriae* = *Shigella dysenteriae*, *P. aeruginosa*= *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *K. pneumonia*=*Klebsiella pneumonia*, *P. microbilis*= *Proteus microbilis*, *S. aureus*=*Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. pyogene* =*Streptococcus pyogene*, *B. subtilis*=*Bacillus subtilis*, *C. spp.*=*Corynebacteria species*, *C. albicans*= *Candida albicans*, *A. niger*= *Aspergillus niger*, *A. flavus*= *Aspergillus flavus*, *R. spp.*=*Rhizopus species*. NT= not tested.- = no activity. Means with different superscript along same row are significantly ($P<0.05$) different.



MIC, MBC and MFC of the Susceptible Microorganisms

The MIC, MBC and MFC values against tested pathogens (Gram positive, Gram negative and fungal strains) ranged from 12.5 to 50, 12.5 to 25 and 6.25 mg/ml respectively (Table 2). From this result, it has shown that BDME was more susceptible to fungal strains since the value recorded was lowest at 6.25 mg/ml for both MIC and MFC against *C. albicans*. The extract has also shown a remarkable inhibitory and bactericidal effect on Gram +ve and Gram -ve bacteria with MIC/MBC at 12.5 mg/ml against *Corynae. spp.*, *S. dysenteriae* and *B. subtilis* respectively. The sensitivity pattern of the crude extract (Table 3) had showed a remarkable percentage activity of Gram -ve (66.67%), Gram +ve (100%) and fungal strains (25%) respectively compared with standard drugs used. *C. albicans* had showed the highest activity index (119.61%) compared to ketoconazole while *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumonia* had 97.90% and 94.37% against erythromycin standard. The overall spectral intensity index (SII) was 7.27 mm across all the pathogens which also indicated good sensitivity of the extract [31]. The activity of the extract is even superior to Gentamicin. The antimicrobial activities displayed by this extract have also confirmed that the plant possessed very important metabolites which can be used for natural antibiotics and antifungal agents against the resistance pathogens.

Table 2: MIC, MBC and MFC concentrations of methanol stem bark extract of *Boswellia dalzielii* against susceptible organisms

S/No	Organisms		Concentration (mg/ml)					
			100	50.00	25.00	12.50	6.25	3.125
1	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	-	β	α	+	+		
2	<i>Sreptococcus pyogene</i>	-	-	β	α	+	+	+
3	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	-	-	-	$\alpha\beta$	+	+	
4	<i>Corynebacterium species</i>	-	β	-	$\alpha\beta$	+	+	
5	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	-	β	α	+	+	+	
6	<i>Salmonella typhii</i>	-	β	α	+	+	+	
7	<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	-	-	-	-	$\alpha\beta$	+	+
8	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	-	β	α	+	+	+	
9	<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	-	-	-	-	α	+	+
10	<i>Proteus microbilis</i>	-	-	β	α	+	+	+
11	<i>Candida albicans</i>	-	-	-	-	$\alpha\mu$	+	

Key: α = MIC, β = MBC, $\alpha\beta$ = MIC and MBC, $\alpha\mu$ = MIC and MFC, - = not turbid/no growth, + = turbid/ growth

Table 3: Sensitivity Pattern of the Crude Methanolic Stem Bark Extract of *B. dalzielii* against Some Microorganisms compared with standard antibiotics and antifungal

S/N	Microorganisms	Activity Index (%)	Spectral Activity Index
1	<i>E. coli</i>	39.47 ^a	24.58 ^b
2	<i>S. typhii</i>	42.21 ^a	20.78 ^b
3	<i>S. dysenteriae</i>	72.53 ^a	51.38 ^b
4	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	97.90 ^a	45.78 ^b
5	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	94.37 ^a	50.32 ^b
6	<i>P. mirabilis</i>	80.93 ^a	56.65 ^b
7	<i>S. aureus</i>	76.88 ^a	43.46 ^b
8	<i>S. pyogene</i>	42.85 ^a	35.99 ^b
9	<i>B. Subtilis</i>	58.63 ^a	37.63 ^b
10	<i>Coryn. Spp.</i>	73.50 ^a	43.60 ^b
11	<i>C. albicans</i>	-	63.75 ^b

*Percentage activity (%) %G+ = 100 %G- =66.67 %FS=100 %T=63.89 7.27 mm

Key: %G+ = percentage Gram positive; %G- = percentage Gram negative; %FS=percentage fungal strains; % T= percentage total computed with: a =Erythromycin, b = Ciprofloxacin, c =Gentamicin, d=Ketoconazole, * =susceptible value ≥ 7 mm, - = no activity.



Conclusion

The phytochemical analysis has revealed the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, steroids, cardiac glycosides, resins, cardenolides, fatty acids and carbohydrates, some of which have associated with antibacterial and antifungal properties. The results of the *in vitro* antimicrobial studies showed that the extract possesses antibacterial and antifungal properties. This is evident from the results of susceptibility test, MIC, MBC, MFC, activity index, percentage activity and spectral intensity index when compared with the standard antibacterial and antifungal drugs.

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to Mr. Gulani A. of Department of Veterinary Medicine and Mr. Fine Akawo Department of Chemistry of University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, for their technical support.

References

1. Burkill, H. M. (1985). *The Useful Plants of West Tropical Africa*. (Vol.1). Families A-D. 2nd Ed. Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, London, pp. 300-301.
2. Ethuk, E. U., Agaie, B. M., Onyeyili, P. A. and Ottah, C. U. (2006). Toxicological studies of aqueous stem bark extract of *Boswellia dalzielii* in albino rats. *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 38:359-360.
3. Hussain, H., Al-Harrasi, A., Al-Rawahi, A. and Hussain, J. (2013). Chemistry and biology of essential oils of genus *Boswellia*. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation. Evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine Germany*. pp. 12. <http://doc.doi.org/10.1155/140509>.
4. Hassan, M. M., Oyewale, A. O., Amupitan, J. O., Abdullahi, M. S. and Okonkwo, E. M. (2004). Preliminary phytochemical and antibacterial investigation of crude extracts of the root bark of *–Detarium microcarpum*. *J. Chem. Soc. Nig.* 29(1):26-29.
5. Mahamat, O., Christopher, T., Odette, K. M. and Albert, K. (2014a). In vitro effect of aqueous extract, Hexane and Methanol fractions of *Boswelliadalzielii* Hutch (Family: Burseraceae) in immunomodulatory activities of human monocytes/ macrophages. *Int. J. Bio. Pharm. Res.* 5(2):201-209.
6. Ben-Yehoshua, S., Borowitz, C. and Hanus, L. O. (2012). Frankincense, myrrh and balm of Gilead: Ancient spices of southern Arabia and Judea. *Horticultural Reviews*, Vol. 39 1st Ed. Willy-Blackwell publisher. Isreal. 76 pp.
7. Ntiejumokwu, S. and Alemika, T. O. E. (1991). Antimicrobial and phytochemical investigation of the stem bark of *Boswellia dalzielii*. *W. Afr. J. Pharmacol Drug Res.* 9(10):100-104.
8. Moses, A. O., Yvonne., T. K. O. and John, D. M. (2005). Antibacterial activity of the stem bark of *Boswelliadalzielii*. *J. Pharm. Biore.* 2(2): 131-136.
9. Ohemu, T. L., Agunu, A., Olotu, P. N., Ajima, U., Danfam, D.G. and Azila, J. J. (2014). Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants used in the traditional treatment of viral infection in Jos, Plateau state- Nigeria. *Int. J. Med. Arom. Plants.* 4(2):74-81.
10. Miller, A. G. and Morris, M. (1988). *Plants of Dhofar: Traditional, Economic and Medicinal uses*, Oman Office of the adviser for conservation of the environment. Diwan of the Royal Court Sultanate of Oman. p. 360.
11. Mahamat, O., Christopher, T. and Albert, K. (2014b). Modulation of mitogen-response and phagocytic activities of human lymphocytes and macrophages by aqueous, hexane and methanol extracts of stem bark of *Boswellia dalzielii* Hutch. (Family-Burseraceae). *Int. J. Adv. Res. Sci. Technol.* 3(2):119-125.
12. Harborne, J. B. (1973). *Phytochemistry*. Academic press, London. pp. 142-149.
13. Brain, K. R. and Turner, T. D. (1975). *The Practical Evaluation of Phytopharmaceutical Wright Sci. Technica. Bristol.* pp. 140-144, 152-154.
14. Vishnoi, N. R. (1979). *Advanced Practical Chemistry*. Yikas Publication House, PVT Ltd., Ghaziabad-India. pp. 447-449.
15. Evans, W.C. (2009). *Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy*, 15th Ed. Harcourt Publishers Ltd. China, 585 pp. Graph PadInstat (2003). Graph Pad Instat Software for Windows Version 3.05, 32bit for Win 95/NT.



16. Vlietinck, A. J. L., Vanhoof, L., Totte, J., Lasure, A., Vanden-berghe, D., Rwangabo, P. C. and Mvukiyumwami, J. (1995). Screening of hundred Rwandese medicinal plants for antimicrobial and antiviral properties. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*46:31-47.
17. Sodipo, O.A., Akanmu, A. O. Mamza, U. T., Oke, E. O. and Gulani, I. (2016). In Vitro antimicrobial studies of the aqueous and methanol extracts of *Watheria americana* Linn (Sterculiaceae) in Maiduguri. *Nig. J. Pharmcut. Biomed. Res.* 1(1):43-49.
18. Zwadyk, P. (1972). Enteriobacteriaceae in Zinsser Microbiology. 20th ed. George Thieneverlag, Stuttgart, Germany. pp. 20-32.
19. Vollekova, A., Kost' Alova, D. and Sochorovai, R. (2001). Isoquinoline alkaloids from *Mahonia aquifolium* stem bark is active against *Malassezia* spp. *Folia Microbiol.* 46:107-111.
20. Graph Pad Instat (2003). Graph Pad Instat Software for Windows Version 3.05, 32bit for Win 95/NT.
21. Abdulrahman, F.I. (2004). Studies on the chemical contents and pharmacological activities of the root-bark extract of *Vitex doniana* (Black plum). Ph.D. Thesis, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria. pp. 166.
22. Mamza, U. T. (2013). Phytochemical screening and antimicrobial activities of the leaf extract of *Phyllanthus amarus* Schum and Thonn (Euphorbiaceae). M.Sc. Dissertation, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria. 120 pp.
23. Rangari, V. D. (2012). *Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. Career Publications vol. 1 3rd. Maharashtra, India. 55 pp.
24. Karthikeyan, S., Sivakumar, A., Anbalagan, M., Nalini, E. and Gothandam, K. M. (2013). Finger printing of alkaloids, steroids and flavonoids using HPTLC of *Leucasaspetra* L. whole plant methanolic extract. *J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* 5(3):67-71.
25. Ogunleye, D. S. and Ibitoye, S. F. (2003). Studies of antimicrobial activity and chemical Constituents of *Zimenia americana*. *Tropic. J. Pharmaceut. Res.* 2:231-241.
26. Cowan, M M. (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 12:564-582.
27. Mamza, U. T., Sodipo, O.A., Abdulrahman, F. I. and Khan, I. Z. (2015a). Evaluation of phytochemical, antimicrobial and toxicity studies of ethanolic stem extract of *Phyllanthus amarus* Thonn and Schum (Euphorbiaceae). *Int. J. Sci. Technol.* 3(6):262-268.
28. Pingale, S. S., Chaskar, M. G. and Kakade, N. R. (2017). Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial activity of *Caesalpinia bonducella* leaves. *J. Nat. Prod. Plant Resour.* 7(1):9-14.
29. Mamza, U. T., Sodipo, O. A., Khan, I. Z. and Gulani, I. A. (2015b). Phytochemical, antimicrobial and toxicity studies of ethanolic leaf extract of *Phyllanthus amarus* Thonn and Schum (Euphorbiaceae). *Int. J. Green. Herb.Chem.* 4(1):21-30.
30. Mamza, U. T., Sodipo, O. A., Abdulrahman, F. I., Khan, I. Z. (2017). Proximate and Elemental Composition of Methanolic stem Bark Extract of *Boswellia dalzielii* (frankincense tree: Burseraceae). *Int. J. Sci. Res.* 6(10):751-757.
31. Parekh, J., Karathia, N. and Chanda, S. (2006). Evaluation of antibacterial activity and phytochemical analysis of *Bauhinia variegata* L. bark. *Afr. J. Biolmed. Res.* 9:53-56.
32. Dangoggo, S. M., Sadiq, S. S., Faruq, U. Z., Hassan, L. G. and Manga, S. B. (2005). Preliminary phytochemical and antimicrobial analysis of *Securidaca longipedunculata*. *Proc. Chem. Soc. Nig. (Suppl. J. Chem. Soc. Nig.)*. 2(2):510-514.

